

#### Temporal Graph Mining

#### Aristides Gionis, Lutz Oettershagen, Ilie Sarpe

{argioni, lutzo, ilsarpe}@kth.se

KTH Royal Institute of Technology





#### Tutors



Aristides Gionis



Lutz Oettershagen



Ilie Sarpe

#### Website



https://miningtemporalnetworks.github.io/

#### Interaction



menti.com code: 14 46 97 6

# Agenda

#### Part I : Introduction and Motivation

- models of temporal networks
- algorithmic approaches

#### Part II : Mining Temporal Networks A:

- connectivity, temporal properties
- centrality, cores

#### Part III : Mining Temporal Networks B:

- communities, patterns and events
- diffusion and random networks
- Part IV : Tools and Code Libraries
- Part V : Challenges, Open Problems, and Trends

# Part I Introduction and Motivation



- many different network types
  - social (WhatsApp, LinkedIn, etc..)



- many different network types
  - social (WhatsApp, LinkedIn, etc..)
  - informational (co-authorship, email, etc..)



- many different network types
  - social (WhatsApp, LinkedIn, etc..)
  - informational (co-authorship, email, etc..)
  - technological (IP-level, transportation, etc..)



- many different network types
  - social (WhatsApp, LinkedIn, etc..)
  - informational (co-authorship, email, etc..)
  - technological (IP-level, transportation, etc..)
  - purchase (E-commerce, crypto, etc..)



- many different network types
  - social (WhatsApp, LinkedIn, etc..)
  - informational (co-authorship, email, etc..)
  - technological (IP-level, transportation, etc..)
  - purchase (E-commerce, crypto, etc..)



networks model objects and their relations

many different network types

. . .

- social (WhatsApp, LinkedIn, etc..)
- informational (co-authorship, email, etc..)
- technological (IP-level, transportation, etc..)
- purchase (E-commerce, crypto, etc..)

networks are ubiquitous in WWW-based applications





- ▶ implications in
  - knowledge creation



- ▶ implications in
  - knowledge creation
  - peoples' behavior



- ▶ implications in
  - knowledge creation
  - peoples' behavior
  - information sharing



online communication networks and social media

#### ▶ implications in

- knowledge creation
- peoples' behavior
- information sharing
- education



online communication networks and social media

#### ▶ implications in

- knowledge creation
- peoples' behavior
- information sharing
- education
- democracy



online communication networks and social media

#### ▶ implications in

- knowledge creation
- peoples' behavior
- information sharing
- education
- democracy
- society as a whole



 4.76 billion social media users, ca. 60% of the world's population



- 4.76 billion social media users, ca. 60% of the world's population
- 73.3% of all global spending on advertising for digital platforms in 2022



- 4.76 billion social media users, ca. 60% of the world's population
- 73.3% of all global spending on advertising for digital platforms in 2022
- 53.9% of users are concerned about misinformation



- 4.76 billion social media users, ca. 60% of the world's population
- 73.3% of all global spending on advertising for digital platforms in 2022
- 53.9% of users are concerned about misinformation
- insights can lead to huge monetary and societal impacts



- structure discovery
  - communities, summarization, events, role mining



- structure discovery
  - communities, summarization, events, role mining
- study complex dynamic phenomena
  - evolution, information diffusion, opinion formation, structural prediction, patterns



#### structure discovery

- communities, summarization, events, role mining
- study complex dynamic phenomena
  - evolution, information diffusion, opinion formation, structural prediction, patterns
- develop novel applications and mining primitives



#### structure discovery

- communities, summarization, events, role mining
- study complex dynamic phenomena
  - evolution, information diffusion, opinion formation, structural prediction, patterns
- develop novel applications and mining primitives
- design efficient algorithms



# Network Mining: Traditional View

networks represented as pure graph-theory objects no additional vertex / edge information



# Network Mining: Traditional View

- networks represented as pure graph-theory objects no additional vertex / edge information
- emphasis on static networks



ability to collect and store large volumes of network data



- ability to collect and store large volumes of network data
- available data have time granularity



- ability to collect and store large volumes of network data
- available data have time granularity
- Iots of additional information associated to vertices/edges



- ability to collect and store large volumes of network data
- available data have time granularity
- Iots of additional information associated to vertices/edges
- capturing activity and interaction occurring over systems



- ability to collect and store large volumes of network data
- available data have time granularity
- Iots of additional information associated to vertices/edges
- capturing activity and interaction occurring over systems
- giving rise to new concepts, new problems, and new computational challenges and opportunities



#### Modeling Activity in Networks

1. network nodes perform actions (e.g., posting messages)


### Modeling Activity in Networks

1. network nodes perform actions (e.g., posting messages)



2. network nodes interact with each other

(e.g., a "like", a repost, or sending a message to each other)



### Many Novel and Interesting Concepts



new pattern types



temporal information paths



new types of events



network evolution

identify new phenomena to be captured



- identify new phenomena to be captured
- formulate suitable problems capturing the inherent complexity



- identify new phenomena to be captured
- formulate suitable problems capturing the inherent complexity
- develop algorithmic approaches



- identify new phenomena to be captured
- formulate suitable problems capturing the inherent complexity
- develop algorithmic approaches
- analyze real-world data and gain novel insights



▶ we use term "temporal networks", but terminology is not standardized

- ▶ we use term "temporal networks", but terminology is not standardized
- ▶ term "X Y" can be encountered in the literature, where

▶ we use term "temporal networks", but terminology is not standardized

▶ term "X Y" can be encountered in the literature, where

#### **X** :

temporal dynamic (time-)evolving time-varying time-dependent evolutionary networks graphs

Y:

▶ we use term "temporal networks", but terminology is not standardized

Y :

networks

graphs

▶ term "X Y" can be encountered in the literature, where

X : temporal dynamic (time-)evolving time-varying time-dependent evolutionary

some combinations have distinct meaning, but not always

online communication networks

- phone, email, text messages, etc.





- online communication networks
  - phone, email, text messages, etc.
- economic networks
  - credit card transactions
  - trade networks of countries
  - bitcoin transcations





- online communication networks
  - phone, email, text messages, etc.
- economic networks
  - credit card transactions
  - trade networks of countries
  - bitcoin transcations
- bibliographic networks
  - collaboration and citation networks





#### human proximity networks

- recorded by various sensors and devices, e.g., bluetooth, wifi, etc.
- patient-referral networks, i.e., how patients are transferred between wards of a hospital system
- sexual contact networks





#### human proximity networks

- recorded by various sensors and devices, e.g., bluetooth, wifi, etc.
- patient-referral networks, i.e., how patients are transferred between wards of a hospital system
- sexual contact networks
- travel and transportation networks
  - airline connections, bus transport, bike-sharing systems







#### brain networks

 temporal correlations of the oxygen levels of brain regions as measured by fMRI scanning



#### brain networks

- temporal correlations of the oxygen levels of brain regions as measured by fMRI scanning
- biological networks
  - genes involved in different interactions that change over time



#### brain networks

- temporal correlations of the oxygen levels of brain regions as measured by fMRI scanning
- biological networks
  - genes involved in different interactions that change over time
- animal proximity networks
  - obtained via RFID devices
  - lifestock or wildlife



#### 1. Sequence of interactions

▶ a temporal network is represented as G = (V, E)

- ▶ a temporal network is represented as G = (V, E)
  - with (static) set of nodes V, and

- ▶ a temporal network is represented as G = (V, E)
  - with (static) set of nodes V, and
  - set of edges  $E = \{(u, v, t)\}$ , with  $u, v \in V$  and  $t \in T$  where T is the time domain

- ▶ a temporal network is represented as G = (V, E)
  - with (static) set of nodes V, and
  - set of edges  $E = \{(u, v, t)\}$ , with  $u, v \in V$  and  $t \in T$  where T is the time domain
  - T is usually  $\mathbb{N}$  or  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$

- ▶ a temporal network is represented as G = (V, E)
  - with (static) set of nodes V, and
  - set of edges  $E = \{(u, v, t)\}$ , with  $u, v \in V$  and  $t \in T$  where T is the time domain
  - $\mathcal{T}$  is usually  $\mathbb{N}$  or  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$
  - if interactions have duration, then  $E = \{(u, v, t, \lambda)\}$  with  $\lambda$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  or  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$

- ▶ a temporal network is represented as G = (V, E)
  - with (static) set of nodes V, and
  - set of edges  $E = \{(u, v, t)\}$ , with  $u, v \in V$  and  $t \in T$  where T is the time domain
  - $\mathcal{T}$  is usually  $\mathbb{N}$  or  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$
  - if interactions have duration, then  $E = \{(u, v, t, \lambda)\}$  with  $\lambda$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  or  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$
- ▶ this is a lossless representation

- ▶ a temporal network is represented as G = (V, E)
  - with (static) set of nodes V, and
  - set of edges  $E = \{(u, v, t)\}$ , with  $u, v \in V$  and  $t \in T$  where T is the time domain
  - $\mathcal{T}$  is usually  $\mathbb{N}$  or  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$
  - if interactions have duration, then  $E = \{(u, v, t, \lambda)\}$  with  $\lambda$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  or  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$
- ▶ this is a lossless representation
- ▶ also known as sequence of contacts, or sequence of (temporal) edges or temporal edge stream
- usually, edges given in chronological order

#### 1. Sequence of interactions

visual representation of a temporal network as a sequence of interactions



- 2. Sequence of static graphs
- ▶ sequence  $G_1, \ldots, G_T$

- 2. Sequence of static graphs
- ▶ sequence  $G_1, \ldots, G_T$ 
  - where  $G_t = (V_t, E_t)$ , with  $t = 1, \ldots, T$  with  $T \in \mathbb{N}$

- 2. Sequence of static graphs
- ▶ sequence  $G_1, \ldots, G_T$ 
  - where  $G_t = (V_t, E_t)$ , with  $t = 1, \dots, T$  with  $T \in \mathbb{N}$
  - typically assume that nodes are fixed, i.e.,  $V_t = V$

- 2. Sequence of static graphs
- ▶ sequence  $G_1, \ldots, G_T$ 
  - where  $G_t = (V_t, E_t)$ , with t = 1, ..., T with  $T \in \mathbb{N}$
  - typically assume that nodes are fixed, i.e.,  $V_t = V$
  - $E_t$  are the edges that occur in time interval t

- 2. Sequence of static graphs
- sequence  $G_1, \ldots, G_T$ 
  - where  $G_t = (V_t, E_t)$ , with t = 1, ..., T with  $T \in \mathbb{N}$
  - typically assume that nodes are fixed, i.e.,  $V_t = V$
  - $E_t$  are the edges that occur in time interval t
- not equivalent representation with sequence of interactions

- 2. Sequence of static graphs
- sequence  $G_1, \ldots, G_T$ 
  - where  $G_t = (V_t, E_t)$ , with t = 1, ..., T with  $T \in \mathbb{N}$
  - typically assume that nodes are fixed, i.e.,  $V_t = V$
  - $E_t$  are the edges that occur in time interval t
- not equivalent representation with sequence of interactions
  - representation depends on quantization parameter, e.g., seconds, minutes, hours, days, etc.

#### 2. Sequence of static graphs

visual representation of a temporal network as a sequence of static graphs



## Time Granularity

choosing the right time resolution is important

# Time Granularity

- choosing the right time resolution is important
- quantization: binning of time stamps into time intervals of fixed size, e.g, seconds, minutes, hours, days

# Time Granularity

- choosing the right time resolution is important
- quantization: binning of time stamps into time intervals of fixed size, e.g, seconds, minutes, hours, days
  - coarse resolution may lead to information loss, dense time steps
# Time Granularity

- choosing the right time resolution is important
- quantization: binning of time stamps into time intervals of fixed size, e.g, seconds, minutes, hours, days
  - coarse resolution may lead to information loss, dense time steps
  - fine resolution captures more information but may lead to sparse (or even empty) time steps

# Time Granularity

- choosing the right time resolution is important
- quantization: binning of time stamps into time intervals of fixed size, e.g, seconds, minutes, hours, days
  - coarse resolution may lead to information loss, dense time steps
  - fine resolution captures more information but may lead to sparse (or even empty) time steps





time point in contact network with time resolution of 24h, 1h, and 5 minutes (Lehmann, 2019)

mean degrees for different time resolutions (Clauset and Eagle, 2012) 74

#### 3. Time series of contacts

- a time-series for each pair of nodes in the network
- equivalent representation with sequence of interactions

#### 3. Time series of contacts

- a time-series for each pair of nodes in the network
- equivalent representation with sequence of interactions

#### 4. Tensor representation

- tensor representing  $\mathsf{node} \times \mathsf{node} \times \mathsf{time}$  information
- can apply powerful tensor-algebra techniques
- a complication is that time is directed, while tensor algebra assumes that indices can be relabeled (breaking the time ordering)
- equivalent representation with sequence of interactions



(Casteigts et al., 2012)

- 5. Time-varying graphs defined as  $G = (V, E, T, p, \lambda)$ , where
  - V : set of nodes
  - $E \subseteq V \times V$ : set of edges
  - T : a time domain
  - $p: E \times T \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ : a presence function
  - $-\lambda: \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ : a latency function
- equivalent representation with sequence of interactions

(Latapy et al., 2018)

#### 6. Stream graphs and link streams

- a formalization for modeling interactions over time
- ▶ a stream graph is defined as G = (T, V, W, E), where
  - T: a time domain
  - V : a set of nodes
  - $W \subseteq T \times V$ : a set of temporal nodes
  - $E \subseteq T \times V \times V$ : a set of links

s.t.,  $(t, u, v) \in E$  implies  $(t, u) \in W$  and  $(t, v) \in W$ 

stream graph: nodes are temporal too

link stream equivalent representation with sequence of interactions



(Holme, 2015)

7. Time window graph or underlying graph or projected graph

- 7. Time window graph or underlying graph or projected graph
- ▶ static graph  $G_I = (V_I, E_I)$  for given time interval (or window) I = [a, b]

- 7. Time window graph or underlying graph or projected graph
- ▶ static graph  $G_I = (V_I, E_I)$  for given time interval (or window) I = [a, b]
  - with  $E_I = \{(u, v) \mid (u, v, t) \in E \text{ and } t \in I\}$  be the set of edges with time stamp  $t \in I$

- 7. Time window graph or underlying graph or projected graph
- ▶ static graph  $G_I = (V_I, E_I)$  for given time interval (or window) I = [a, b]
  - with  $E_I = \{(u, v) \mid (u, v, t) \in E \text{ and } t \in I\}$  be the set of edges with time stamp  $t \in I$
  - and with  $V_I = \{u, v \mid (u, v) \in E_I\}$

(Holme, 2015)

- 7. Time window graph or underlying graph or projected graph
- ▶ static graph  $G_I = (V_I, E_I)$  for given time interval (or window) I = [a, b]
  - with  $E_I = \{(u, v) \mid (u, v, t) \in E \text{ and } t \in I\}$  be the set of edges with time stamp  $t \in I$
  - and with  $V_I = \{u, v \mid (u, v) \in E_I\}$

time window can be complete time span of G

- 7. Time window graph or underlying graph or projected graph
- ▶ static graph  $G_I = (V_I, E_I)$  for given time interval (or window) I = [a, b]
  - with  $E_I = \{(u, v) \mid (u, v, t) \in E \text{ and } t \in I\}$  be the set of edges with time stamp  $t \in I$
  - and with  $V_I = \{u, v \mid (u, v) \in E_I\}$
- time window can be complete time span of G
- possible to add weights to the static edges, reflecting different temporal aspects, e.g, number of contacts, or sum of durations of contacts

- 7. Time window graph or underlying graph or projected graph
- ▶ static graph  $G_I = (V_I, E_I)$  for given time interval (or window) I = [a, b]
  - with  $E_I = \{(u, v) \mid (u, v, t) \in E \text{ and } t \in I\}$  be the set of edges with time stamp  $t \in I$
  - and with  $V_I = \{u, v \mid (u, v) \in E_I\}$
- time window can be complete time span of G
- possible to add weights to the static edges, reflecting different temporal aspects, e.g, number of contacts, or sum of durations of contacts
- not equivalent representation with sequence of interactions

- 7. Time window graph or underlying graph or projected graph
- ▶ static graph  $G_I = (V_I, E_I)$  for given time interval (or window) I = [a, b]
  - with  $E_I = \{(u, v) \mid (u, v, t) \in E \text{ and } t \in I\}$  be the set of edges with time stamp  $t \in I$
  - and with  $V_I = \{u, v \mid (u, v) \in E_I\}$
- time window can be complete time span of G
- possible to add weights to the static edges, reflecting different temporal aspects, e.g, number of contacts, or sum of durations of contacts
- not equivalent representation with sequence of interactions
- usually results in loss of information

7. time window graph or underlying graph or projected graph



time window graphs for intervals [1,9], [4,9], [6,7]

# Temporal Graph Variants

- time-intervals instead of time stamps
- directed vs. undirected edges
- multi edges
- (time-variant) labeled or colored nodes and edges
- (time-variant) node and edge features
- temporal hypergraphs

Combinations possible: temporal multi-layer hypergraphs with node features



(Cencetti et al., 2021)

- dynamic graphs is a standard model typically studied in theoretical computer science
  - e.g., (Henzinger et al., 1999; Thorup, 2000; Hanauer et al., 2021)
- dynamic graphs are represented as a sequence of edge additions and/or edge deletions

- dynamic graphs is a standard model typically studied in theoretical computer science
  - e.g., (Henzinger et al., 1999; Thorup, 2000; Hanauer et al., 2021)
- dynamic graphs are represented as a sequence of edge additions and/or edge deletions
- objective: efficient maintenance of graph properties
  - e.g., connectivity, shortest paths, spanners, etc.

- dynamic graphs is a standard model typically studied in theoretical computer science
  - e.g., (Henzinger et al., 1999; Thorup, 2000; Hanauer et al., 2021)
- dynamic graphs are represented as a sequence of edge additions and/or edge deletions
- objective: efficient maintenance of graph properties
  - e.g., connectivity, shortest paths, spanners, etc.
- emphasis on computational efficiency
  - computation time per operation
  - e.g., cost of maintaining a minimum spanning tree per edge additions/deletions

dynamic graphs resemble sequence of interactions model

- dynamic graphs resemble sequence of interactions model
- main difference lies on which graph properties we study
- for dynamic graphs we typically consider properties on graph snapshots
  - i.e., minimum spanning tree on the current snapshot

- dynamic graphs resemble sequence of interactions model
- main difference lies on which graph properties we study
- for dynamic graphs we typically consider properties on graph snapshots
  - i.e., minimum spanning tree on the current snapshot
- ▶ for temporal graphs we typically consider properties that span a time interval
  - i.e., a temporal pattern

- dynamic graphs resemble sequence of interactions model
- main difference lies on which graph properties we study
- ▶ for dynamic graphs we typically consider properties on graph snapshots
  - i.e., minimum spanning tree on the current snapshot
- ▶ for temporal graphs we typically consider properties that span a time interval
  - i.e., a temporal pattern
- disclaimer: we do not consider dynamic graphs

in graph generation models, we consider dynamic networks

- e.g., Barabási-Albert, forest-fire, copying model, etc.



in graph generation models, we consider dynamic networks

- e.g., Barabási-Albert, forest-fire, copying model, etc.
- similar to dynamic graphs: a sequence of node/edge additions (typically no deletions)



in graph generation models, we consider dynamic networks

- e.g., Barabási-Albert, forest-fire, copying model, etc.
- similar to dynamic graphs: a sequence of node/edge additions (typically no deletions)
- node/edge addition are governed by a probabilistic model, not arbitrary, or worst case, as in algorithmic models



- in graph generation models, we consider dynamic networks
  - e.g., Barabási-Albert, forest-fire, copying model, etc.
- similar to dynamic graphs: a sequence of node/edge additions (typically no deletions)
- node/edge addition are governed by a probabilistic model, not arbitrary, or worst case, as in algorithmic models
- emphasis again on network topology, i.e., how certain network structures emerge



in graph generation models, we consider dynamic networks

- e.g., Barabási-Albert, forest-fire, copying model, etc.
- similar to dynamic graphs: a sequence of node/edge additions (typically no deletions)
- node/edge addition are governed by a probabilistic model, not arbitrary, or worst case, as in algorithmic models
- emphasis again on network topology, i.e., how certain network structures emerge
  - e.g., scale-free distribution, small world, etc.



in graph generation models, we consider dynamic networks

- e.g., Barabási-Albert, forest-fire, copying model, etc.
- similar to dynamic graphs: a sequence of node/edge additions (typically no deletions)
- node/edge addition are governed by a probabilistic model, not arbitrary, or worst case, as in algorithmic models
- emphasis again on network topology, i.e., how certain network structures emerge
  - e.g., scale-free distribution, small world, etc.
- disclaimer: we do not consider dynamic networks in this context



data-stream model:

data-stream model:

(Muthukrishnan et al., 2005)

- data are presented as a sequence of data items (potentially infinite)

data-stream model:

- data are presented as a sequence of data items (potentially infinite)
- assume a small number of passes, typically constant or just one pass

data-stream model:

- data are presented as a sequence of data items (potentially infinite)
- assume a small number of passes, typically constant or just one pass
- assume small memory compared to data size, e.g., poly-logarithmic

#### data-stream model:

- data are presented as a sequence of data items (potentially infinite)
- assume a small number of passes, typically constant or just one pass
- assume small memory compared to data size, e.g., poly-logarithmic
- assume fast computation per data item processed, e.g., constant or poly-logarithmic

data-stream model:

- data are presented as a sequence of data items (potentially infinite)
- assume a small number of passes, typically constant or just one pass
- assume small memory compared to data size, e.g., poly-logarithmic
- assume fast computation per data item processed, e.g., constant or poly-logarithmic
- ▶ a graph stream is a graph dataset in the data-stream model

data-stream model:

- data are presented as a sequence of data items (potentially infinite)
- assume a small number of passes, typically constant or just one pass
- assume small memory compared to data size, e.g., poly-logarithmic
- assume fast computation per data item processed, e.g., constant or poly-logarithmic
- a graph stream is a graph dataset in the data-stream model
  - e.g., sequence of interactions (temporal network), or edge additions/deletions (dynamic graph)
### Graph Streams

data-stream model:

#### (Muthukrishnan et al., 2005)

- data are presented as a sequence of data items (potentially infinite)
- assume a small number of passes, typically constant or just one pass
- assume small memory compared to data size, e.g., poly-logarithmic
- assume fast computation per data item processed, e.g., constant or poly-logarithmic
- ▶ a graph stream is a graph dataset in the data-stream model
  - e.g., sequence of interactions (temporal network), or edge additions/deletions (dynamic graph)
- ▶ a graph stream is not a representation model, but underlying computational model

### Graph Streams

data-stream model:

#### (Muthukrishnan et al., 2005)

- data are presented as a sequence of data items (potentially infinite)
- assume a small number of passes, typically constant or just one pass
- assume small memory compared to data size, e.g., poly-logarithmic
- assume fast computation per data item processed, e.g., constant or poly-logarithmic
- ▶ a graph stream is a graph dataset in the data-stream model
  - e.g., sequence of interactions (temporal network), or edge additions/deletions (dynamic graph)
- ▶ a graph stream is not a representation model, but underlying computational model
- we can study questions of mining temporal networks in the graph-stream model

rich and fast growing body of works on temporal graph learning

- rich and fast growing body of works on temporal graph learning
- tasks: dynamic link/node property prediction, graph classification, clustering, link prediction, representation learning, ...

- rich and fast growing body of works on temporal graph learning
- tasks: dynamic link/node property prediction, graph classification, clustering, link prediction, representation learning, ...
- methods: graph neural networks, recurrent neural network, graph transformers, ...

- rich and fast growing body of works on temporal graph learning
- tasks: dynamic link/node property prediction, graph classification, clustering, link prediction, representation learning, ...
- methods: graph neural networks, recurrent neural network, graph transformers, ...
- several extensive surveys available, e.g.,

(Gupta and Bedathur, 2022; Longa et al., 2023; Kazemi et al., 2020)

- rich and fast growing body of works on temporal graph learning
- tasks: dynamic link/node property prediction, graph classification, clustering, link prediction, representation learning, ...
- methods: graph neural networks, recurrent neural network, graph transformers, ...
- several extensive surveys available, e.g.,

(Gupta and Bedathur, 2022; Longa et al., 2023; Kazemi et al., 2020)

disclaimer: in this tutorial we do not consider temporal graph learning

#### Theoretical Aspects of Temporal Graphs

- how is the complexity of classic combinatorial optimization problems changes when time is added?
- some old results: the max-flow min-cut theorem holds with unit capacities for temporal paths (Berman, 1996)

### Theoretical Aspects of Temporal Graphs

- how is the complexity of classic combinatorial optimization problems changes when time is added?
- some old results: the max-flow min-cut theorem holds with unit capacities for temporal paths (Berman, 1996)
- a number of recent works
  - graph coloring
  - maximal matching
  - cliques (Viard et al., 2015, 2016; Himmel et al., 2017; Mertzios et al., 2024)
  - network design
  - path problems
  - vertex cover

. . .

- (Mertzios et al., 2019) ard et al. 2015, 2016: Himmel et al. 2017: Mertzios et al. 2024)
  - (Akrida et al., 2017; Enright et al., 2021)

(Mertzios et al., 2018)

- (Casteigts et al., 2021; Klobas et al., 2022, 2023)
  - (Hamm et al., 2022; Akrida et al., 2020)
- discussing complexity, FPT algorithms, enumeration, etc.

# Agenda

#### Part I : Introduction and Motivation

- models of temporal networks
- algorithmic approaches

#### Part II : Mining Temporal Networks A:

- connectivity, temporal properties
- centrality, cores

#### Part III : Mining Temporal Networks B:

- communities, patterns and events
- diffusion and random networks
- Part IV : Tools and Code Libraries
- Part V : Challenges, Open Problems, and Trends

# Part II Mining Temporal Networks A

▶ a fundamental concept in analysis of temporal networks

- ▶ a fundamental concept in analysis of temporal networks
- ▶ a time-respecting (or temporal) walk is a sequence of temporal edges, such that

- ▶ a fundamental concept in analysis of temporal networks
- ▶ a time-respecting (or temporal) walk is a sequence of temporal edges, such that
  - consecutive edges share a common node, and

- ▶ a fundamental concept in analysis of temporal networks
- ▶ a time-respecting (or temporal) walk is a sequence of temporal edges, such that
  - consecutive edges share a common node, and
  - time stamps of temporal edges are increasing

- ▶ a fundamental concept in analysis of temporal networks
- ▶ a time-respecting (or temporal) walk is a sequence of temporal edges, such that
  - consecutive edges share a common node, and
  - time stamps of temporal edges are increasing
  - (non-strict version: time stamps non-decreasing)

- ▶ a fundamental concept in analysis of temporal networks
- ▶ a time-respecting (or temporal) walk is a sequence of temporal edges, such that
  - consecutive edges share a common node, and
  - time stamps of temporal edges are increasing
  - (non-strict version: time stamps non-decreasing)
- > a temporal paths is a temporal walk that visits each vertex at most once

- ▶ a fundamental concept in analysis of temporal networks
- ▶ a time-respecting (or temporal) walk is a sequence of temporal edges, such that
  - consecutive edges share a common node, and
  - time stamps of temporal edges are increasing
  - (non-strict version: time stamps non-decreasing)
- a temporal paths is a temporal walk that visits each vertex at most once

$$(a) \xrightarrow{1} (b) \xrightarrow{3} (c) \xrightarrow{2} (d)$$
  $(a) \xrightarrow{1} (b) \xrightarrow{2} (c) \xrightarrow{3} (d)$ 



(c, e, 2), (e, d, 5), (d, b, 6) is a time-respecting path from c to b



(c, e, 2), (e, d, 5), (d, b, 6) is a time-respecting path from c to b(c, b, 3), (b, a, 1) is not a time-respecting path



(c, e, 2), (e, d, 5), (d, b, 6) is a time-respecting path from c to b(c, b, 3), (b, a, 1) is not a time-respecting path

non-symmetric: from e to b but not from b to e



(c, e, 2), (e, d, 5), (d, b, 6) is a time-respecting path from c to b(c, b, 3), (b, a, 1) is not a time-respecting path

- non-symmetric: from e to b but not from b to e
- non-transitive: from b to d and from d to e but not from b to e



information (or disease) can only propagate over time-respecting walks





₿





- information (or disease) can only propagate over time-respecting walks
- communication networks: capture possible flow of information









#### Applications

- information (or disease) can only propagate over time-respecting walks
- communication networks: capture possible flow of information
- financial networks: trace the sequence of financial exchanges to identify patterns, detect fraudulent activity, or assess market dynamics











- information (or disease) can only propagate over time-respecting walks
- communication networks: capture possible flow of information
- financial networks: trace the sequence of financial exchanges to identify patterns, detect fraudulent activity, or assess market dynamics
- epidemiology: understanding the spread of diseases







- information (or disease) can only propagate over time-respecting walks
- communication networks: capture possible flow of information
- financial networks: trace the sequence of financial exchanges to identify patterns, detect fraudulent activity, or assess market dynamics
- epidemiology: understanding the spread of diseases
- social network analysis: centrality measures for ranking users







Reachability is defined as in static graphs, but using time-respecting walks

Reachability is defined as in static graphs, but using time-respecting walks

"There exists temporal (s, z)-walk in G" not always useful—the existence depends on time interval

Reachability is defined as in static graphs, but using time-respecting walks

"There exists temporal (s, z)-walk in G" not always useful—the existence depends on time interval



Reachability is defined as in static graphs, but using time-respecting walks

"There exists temporal (s, z)-walk in G" not always useful—the existence depends on time interval



temporal walks and paths problems, and reachability are always related to a time interval I

Reachability is defined as in static graphs, but using time-respecting walks

"There exists temporal (s, z)-walk in G" not always useful—the existence depends on time interval



temporal walks and paths problems, and reachability are always related to a time interval *I* if no time-interval given, we take the complete span of *G*

Reachability is defined as in static graphs, but using time-respecting walks

"There exists temporal (s, z)-walk in G" not always useful—the existence depends on time interval



temporal walks and paths problems, and reachability are always related to a time interval I

- ▶ if no time-interval given, we take the complete span of G
- finding all from s reachable nodes in linear time (later)

# A Reachability Problem

#### Temporal Exploration Problem

- **Given**: Temporal graph G = (V, E), vertex  $s \in V$
- **Question**: Can we reach all other nodes with a single temporal walk starting from *s*?

# A Reachability Problem

#### Temporal Exploration Problem

- Given: Temporal graph G = (V, E), vertex  $s \in V$
- Question: Can we reach all other nodes with a single temporal walk starting from s?

Problem is NP-complete (corresponding problem in static graphs in linear time!)



If transition time non-zero  $\Rightarrow$  each edge at most once in a strict temporal walk

# A Reachability Problem

#### Temporal Exploration Problem

- Given: Temporal graph G = (V, E), vertex  $s \in V$
- Question: Can we reach all other nodes with a single temporal walk starting from s?

Problem is NP-complete (corresponding problem in static graphs in linear time!)



If transition time non-zero  $\Rightarrow$  each edge at most once in a strict temporal walk

Proof idea:

reduction from Hamilton path problem

(Michail and Spirakis, 2016)
### static connected components are based on reachability

- each node in connected component C can reach each all other nodes in C
- equivalence relation that partitions the graph
  - reflexivity, symmetry, transitivity

- static connected components are based on reachability
  - each node in connected component C can reach each all other nodes in C
- equivalence relation that partitions the graph
  - reflexivity, symmetry, transitivity
- temporal connected components are based on temporal reachability
  - a subset of the nodes  $C \subseteq V$
  - there is a temporal walk between each pair  $u, v \in C$

#### Temporal Connectivity Problem

- **Given:** A temporal graph and integer k
- Question: Is there a subset of the vertices V' ⊆ V of size k such that all vertices in V' can reach each other by a temporal path?
- $\blacktriangleright$  two versions: open variant allows paths using nodes outsides of V', closed variant not
- both cases are NP-complete

(Bhadra and Ferreira, 2003)





### Temporal Connectivity Problem

- **Given:** A temporal graph and integer k
- Question: Is there a subset of the vertices V' ⊆ V of size k such that all vertices in V' can reach each other by a temporal path?
- $\blacktriangleright$  two versions: open variant allows paths using nodes outsides of V', closed variant not
- both cases are NP-complete

(Bhadra and Ferreira, 2003)





a and d are openly connected

#### Connected components can be overlapping

• compute reachability or connected components in time window graph  $G_I = (V_I, E_I)$ 

- given time window I = [a, b],  $G_I = (V_I, E_I)$  is static graph induced by edges appearing in I

• compute reachability or connected components in time window graph  $G_I = (V_I, E_I)$ 

- given time window I = [a, b],  $G_I = (V_I, E_I)$  is static graph induced by edges appearing in I

time window reachability for  $u \in V$  in time interval I = [a, b] (Wen et al., 2020)

- the set of nodes that u can reach in  $G_I = (V_I, E_I)$  with static walk

• compute reachability or connected components in time window graph  $G_I = (V_I, E_I)$ 

- given time window I = [a, b],  $G_I = (V_I, E_I)$  is static graph induced by edges appearing in I

time window reachability for  $u \in V$  in time interval I = [a, b] (Wen et al., 2020)

- the set of nodes that u can reach in  $G_I = (V_I, E_I)$  with static walk

• time window connected components in time interval I = [a, b] (Xie et al., 2023)

- the set of static connected components in  $G_I = (V_I, E_I)$ 

• compute reachability or connected components in time window graph  $G_I = (V_I, E_I)$ 

- given time window I = [a, b],  $G_I = (V_I, E_I)$  is static graph induced by edges appearing in I

• time window reachability for  $u \in V$  in time interval I = [a, b] (Wen et al., 2020)

- the set of nodes that u can reach in  $G_I = (V_I, E_I)$  with static walk

• time window connected components in time interval I = [a, b] (Xie et al., 2023)

- the set of static connected components in  $G_I = (V_I, E_I)$
- both problems solvable in linear time
- alternative: index-based algorithms for large scale graphs

### Time-Respecting Paths



some paths in the static graph are not meaningful in the temporal graph

### Time-Respecting Paths



▶ some paths in the static graph are not meaningful in the temporal graph
▶ e.g., a-b-g-j is not time-respecting path

### Time-Respecting Paths



- some paths in the static graph are not meaningful in the temporal graph
- e.g., a-b-g-j is not time-respecting path
- what is an optimal path from a to k?

earliest-arrival path: a path from x to y with earliest arrival time



- earliest-arrival path: a path from x to y with earliest arrival time
- latest-departure path : a path from x to y with latest departure time



- earliest-arrival path: a path from x to y with earliest arrival time
- latest-departure path : a path from x to y with latest departure time
- ▶ fastest path : path from x to y with minimum elapsed time



- earliest-arrival path: a path from x to y with earliest arrival time
- latest-departure path: a path from x to y with latest departure time
- ▶ fastest path : path from x to y with minimum elapsed time
- shortest path: a path from x to y minimum sum of traversal times



- earliest-arrival path: a path from x to y with earliest arrival time
- latest-departure path : a path from x to y with latest departure time
- ▶ fastest path : path from x to y with minimum elapsed time
- shortest path: a path from x to y minimum sum of traversal times
- minimum hop path: a path from x to y with minimum number of hops



# **Optimal Path Computation**

### Observation

Let  $P_{(s,z)}$  be an optimal temporal path and P a subpath of  $P_{(s,z)}$ , then, in general, P is not optimal



#### Example:

• fastest path (s, d, b, z) with duration 4

# **Optimal Path Computation**

#### Observation

Let  $P_{(s,z)}$  be an optimal temporal path and P a subpath of  $P_{(s,z)}$ , then, in general, P is not optimal



#### Example:

- fastest path (s, d, b, z) with duration 4
- **•** subpath (s, d, b) has duration 3 but path (s, a, b) has duration 2

# **Optimal Path Computation**

### Observation

Let  $P_{(s,z)}$  be an optimal temporal path and P a subpath of  $P_{(s,z)}$ , then, in general, P is not optimal



#### Example:

- fastest path (s, d, b, z) with duration 4
- **•** subpath (s, d, b) has duration 3 but path (s, a, b) has duration 2
- similar examples for other variants

#### Observation

Let  $P_{(s,z)}$  be an optimal temporal path and P a subpath of  $P_{(s,z)}$ , then, in general, P is not optimal



#### Example:

- fastest path (s, d, b, z) with duration 4
- subpath (s, d, b) has duration 3 but path (s, a, b) has duration 2
- similar examples for other variants
- greedy Dijkstra does not work in general

### Subpath Optimality

### Subpath Optimality

If there exists an earliest arrival (s, z)-path, then there exist an earliest arrival (s, z)-path P such that each prefix path of P is an earliest arrival path

**•** source vertex x, starting time  $t_s$ 

### Subpath Optimality

- **•** source vertex x, starting time  $t_s$
- array A of size |V| to record arrival times to each node

### Subpath Optimality

- **•** source vertex x, starting time  $t_s$
- array A of size |V| to record arrival times to each node
- $A[x] = t_s$  and  $A[v] = \infty$ , for nodes other than source

### Subpath Optimality

- **•** source vertex x, starting time  $t_s$
- array A of size |V| to record arrival times to each node
- $A[x] = t_s$  and  $A[v] = \infty$ , for nodes other than source
- process edges  $(u, v, t, \lambda)$  in temporal order

### Subpath Optimality

- source vertex x, starting time t<sub>s</sub>
- array A of size |V| to record arrival times to each node
- $A[x] = t_s$  and  $A[v] = \infty$ , for nodes other than source
- process edges  $(u, v, t, \lambda)$  in temporal order
  - if  $t \ge A[u]$  (*u* is already reached from *x*)

### Subpath Optimality

- **•** source vertex x, starting time  $t_s$
- array A of size |V| to record arrival times to each node
- $A[x] = t_s$  and  $A[v] = \infty$ , for nodes other than source
- process edges  $(u, v, t, \lambda)$  in temporal order
  - if  $t \ge A[u]$  (*u* is already reached from *x*)
  - current edge might be earliest path from x to v

### Subpath Optimality

- **•** source vertex x, starting time  $t_s$
- array A of size |V| to record arrival times to each node
- $A[x] = t_s$  and  $A[v] = \infty$ , for nodes other than source
- process edges  $(u, v, t, \lambda)$  in temporal order
  - if  $t \ge A[u]$  (*u* is already reached from *x*)
  - current edge might be earliest path from x to v
  - update  $A[v] = \min(A[v], t + \lambda)$

### Subpath Optimality

If there exists an earliest arrival (s, z)-path, then there exist an earliest arrival (s, z)-path P such that each prefix path of P is an earliest arrival path

- **•** source vertex x, starting time  $t_s$
- array A of size |V| to record arrival times to each node
- $A[x] = t_s$  and  $A[v] = \infty$ , for nodes other than source
- process edges  $(u, v, t, \lambda)$  in temporal order
  - if  $t \ge A[u]$  (*u* is already reached from *x*)
  - current edge might be earliest path from x to v
  - update  $A[v] = \min(A[v], t + \lambda)$

### Linear time algorithm

### Latest-departure Path

- temporal graph G = (V, E)
- $\blacktriangleright$  sink vertex *x*, ending time  $t_s$

- temporal graph G = (V, E)
- $\blacktriangleright$  sink vertex *x*, ending time  $t_s$
- same process as for earliest-arrival path, but

- temporal graph G = (V, E)
- sink vertex x, ending time  $t_s$
- same process as for earliest-arrival path, but
- process edges in reversed temporal order

- temporal graph G = (V, E)
- sink vertex x, ending time  $t_s$
- same process as for earliest-arrival path, but
- process edges in reversed temporal order
- add new interaction to the path if it does not violate temporal order

## Dominating Path

- source vertex x and sink v
- for a path  $P_1$  arriving at v let (a, s), where
  - a : time of arrival at v
  - s : time of departure from x
- consider another path  $P_2$  arriving at v with (a', s')

## Dominating Path

(Wu et al., 2014)

- source vertex x and sink v
- for a path  $P_1$  arriving at v let (a, s), where
  - a : time of arrival at v
  - -s: time of departure from x

• consider another path  $P_2$  arriving at v with (a', s')

```
• if (s' > s \text{ and } a' \leq a) or (s' = s \text{ and } a' < a)
```

- then path  $P_2$  dominates path  $P_1$
- because a' s' < a s

## Dominating Path

(Wu et al., 2014)

- source vertex x and sink v
- for a path  $P_1$  arriving at v let (a, s), where
  - a : time of arrival at v
  - -s: time of departure from x

• consider another path  $P_2$  arriving at v with (a', s')

```
• if (s' > s \text{ and } a' \leq a) or (s' = s \text{ and } a' < a)
```

- then path  $P_2$  dominates path  $P_1$
- because a' s' < a s

 $\blacktriangleright$  we can replace  $P_1$  with  $P_2$  and improve duration
**Fastest Path** 

(Wu et al., 2014)

streaming algorithm can be adapted using dominating paths

#### **Fastest Path**

- streaming algorithm can be adapted using dominating paths
  - keep a list of non-dominated labels at each node

#### **Fastest Path**

- streaming algorithm can be adapted using dominating paths
  - keep a list of non-dominated labels at each node
  - when new edge arrives extend non-dominated path

#### **Fastest Path**

- streaming algorithm can be adapted using dominating paths
  - keep a list of non-dominated labels at each node
  - when new edge arrives extend non-dominated path

#### Shortest and Minimum Hop Path

similar to algorithm for fastest path

#### Fastest Path

- streaming algorithm can be adapted using dominating paths
  - keep a list of non-dominated labels at each node
  - when new edge arrives extend non-dominated path

#### Shortest and Minimum Hop Path

- similar to algorithm for fastest path
  - but keep track of non-dominated path wrt to the
  - transition times, or

#### Fastest Path

- streaming algorithm can be adapted using dominating paths
  - keep a list of non-dominated labels at each node
  - when new edge arrives extend non-dominated path

#### Shortest and Minimum Hop Path

- similar to algorithm for fastest path
  - but keep track of non-dominated path wrt to the
  - transition times, or
  - number of interactions, instead of the duration

#### **Fastest Path**

- streaming algorithm can be adapted using dominating paths
  - keep a list of non-dominated labels at each node
  - when new edge arrives extend non-dominated path

#### Shortest and Minimum Hop Path

- similar to algorithm for fastest path
  - but keep track of non-dominated path wrt to the
  - transition times, or
  - number of interactions, instead of the duration

Linear time algorithms in case of equal transition times

• function  $\beta: V \to \mathbb{R}$  determines the maximum waiting time at each node



- function  $\beta: V \to \mathbb{R}$  determines the maximum waiting time at each node
  - motivation, e.g., spreading of disease



- function  $\beta: V \to \mathbb{R}$  determines the maximum waiting time at each node
  - motivation, e.g., spreading of disease
- finding restless temporal paths is NP-hard

(Casteigts et al., 2021)



- function  $\beta: V \to \mathbb{R}$  determines the maximum waiting time at each node
  - motivation, e.g., spreading of disease
- finding restless temporal paths is NP-hard
- ▶ finding restless temporal walks possible in  $O(|V| + |E| \log |E|)$

(Casteigts et al., 2021) (Himmel et al., 2019)



- function  $\beta: V \to \mathbb{R}$  determines the maximum waiting time at each node
  - motivation, e.g., spreading of disease
- finding restless temporal paths is NP-hard
- ▶ finding restless temporal walks possible in  $O(|V| + |E| \log |E|)$
- extended for colored restless path and reachability

(Casteigts et al., 2021) (Himmel et al., 2019) (Thejaswi et al., 2020)



- transformation of a temporal network to a directed static network
  - temporal paths in temporal network correspond to static paths in the directed static network
- how to create such a transformation?

- transformation of a temporal network to a directed static network
  - temporal paths in temporal network correspond to static paths in the directed static network
- how to create such a transformation?
- 1. create a copy of each node for each time instance

- transformation of a temporal network to a directed static network
  - temporal paths in temporal network correspond to static paths in the directed static network
- how to create such a transformation?
- 1. create a copy of each node for each time instance
- 2. create a directed edge from the (t-1)-th copy of u to the *t*-th copy of u, for all nodes u, and all time instances t

- transformation of a temporal network to a directed static network
  - temporal paths in temporal network correspond to static paths in the directed static network
- how to create such a transformation?
- 1. create a copy of each node for each time instance
- 2. create a directed edge from the (t-1)-th copy of u to the *t*-th copy of u, for all nodes u, and all time instances t
- 3. create directed edges for the temporal edges

- ▶  $V_e = \{(v, t) \mid v \in V, t \in T\}$ , where T is the set of all possible timestamps
- $\blacktriangleright$  edges  $E_e$  : interactions between the temporal nodes  $V_t$





- ▶  $V_e = \{(v, t) \mid v \in V, t \in T\}$ , where T is the set of all possible timestamps
- $\blacktriangleright$  edges  $E_e$  : interactions between the temporal nodes  $V_t$



**Problem:** Size in number of time-steps  $\Theta(|T| \cdot |V| + |E|)$ 

- ▶  $V_e = \{(v, t) \mid v \in V, t \in T(v)\}$ , where T(v) is the set of times with activity at v
- edges  $E_e$ : interactions between the temporal nodes  $V_t$



- ▶  $V_e = \{(v, t) \mid v \in V, t \in T(v)\}$ , where T(v) is the set of times with activity at v
- edges  $E_e$ : interactions between the temporal nodes  $V_t$



Size in number of edges  $\mathcal{O}(|\mathcal{E}|)$ 

# Directed Line Graph

### Temporal graph



Directed line graph



- ▶ temporal walk in G of length  $\ell + 1 \Leftrightarrow$  walk of length  $\ell$  in D(G)
- counting walks by matrix powers of adjacency matrix
- ▶ size in  $\mathcal{O}(|E|^2)$

- static expansion graph and directed line graph are directed acyclic graphs if edges have non-zero transition times
- standard graph algorithms (bfs, dfs, Dijkstra, Bellman-Ford) can be adopted for finding
  optimal temporal paths and temporal walks
- upstream, downstream reachability sets

## Transportation Temporal Networks



(Kujala et al., 2018)

### Pareto-optimal Journeys



(Kujala et al., 2018)

# Pareto-optimal Journeys

#### Weighted Temporal Graph

• Additional edge costs  $(u, v, t, \lambda, c)$  with  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ 

#### **Bicriteria optimal paths**

- solution: pair (duration, costs)
- non-stop: fast but expensive (2h, 200)
- via Munich: slow but cheap (4h, 100)



# Pareto-optimal Journeys



• Additional edge costs  $(u, v, t, \lambda, c)$  with  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ 

### Bicriteria optimal paths

- solution: pair (duration, costs)
- non-stop: fast but expensive (2h, 200)
- via Munich: slow but cheap (4h, 100)



Enumeration of temporal paths that are efficient wrt. duration and cost in polynomial delay and space (Mutzel and Oettershagen, 2019)

## **Temporal Graph Properties**

- many static graph properties need to be adapted for temporal graphs to be meaningful
- ▶ local and global properties, often several variants with different focus

## Temporal Graph Properties

- many static graph properties need to be adapted for temporal graphs to be meaningful
- local and global properties, often several variants with different focus

#### diameter

- shortest latency of time-respecting paths over connected pairs (Chaintreau et al., 2007)
- restricted on connected pairs, as real data have many disconnected pairs
- the minimum integer d for which the duration between each pair of nodes  $u, v \in V$  is at most d (over all possible starting times) (Michail, 2016)

### Temporal Network Efficiency

network efficiency: the harmonic mean of durations (latency) over all pairs (Tang et al., 2009)

$$E(t_1, t_2) = rac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{u, v \in V} rac{1}{d_{(t_1, t_2)}(u, v)}$$

application: robustness of network (Scellato et al., 2011)



drop in efficiency: at time t = 150, 20% of nodes are removed (sliding time window )

### Burstiness

(Goh and Barabási, 2008)

- defined for sequence of inter-event times  $\tau$  (of single node or pair of nodes, or global)
- measures deviation from memoryless random Poisson process
- defined as

$$B( au) = rac{\sigma_{ au} - m_{ au}}{\sigma_{ au} + m_{ au}} \in [-1, 1],$$

where  $\sigma_{ au}$  and  $m_{ au}$  denote the standard deviation and mean of the inter-contact times au

### Burstiness

(Goh and Barabási, 2008)

- defined for sequence of inter-event times  $\tau$  (of single node or pair of nodes, or global)
- measures deviation from memoryless random Poisson process
- defined as

$$B( au)=rac{\sigma_ au-m_ au}{\sigma_ au+m_ au}\in [-1,1],$$

where  $\sigma_{ au}$  and  $m_{ au}$  denote the standard deviation and mean of the inter-contact times au



## **Topological Overlap**

quantifies the persistency of edges through time

(Tang et al., 2010b)

the topological overlap is defined as

$$T_{\rm to}(G) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{u \in V} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \frac{\sum_{v \in N(u)} \phi_{uv}^t \phi_{uv}^{t+1}}{\sqrt{\sum_{v \in N(u)} \phi_{uv}^t \sum_{v \in N(u)} \phi_{uv}^{t+1}}} \in [0, 1],$$

where  $\phi_{uv}^t = 1$  iff. there exists a temporal edges between u and v at time t and zero otherwise

## **Topological Overlap**

quantifies the persistency of edges through time

(Tang et al., 2010b)

the topological overlap is defined as

$$T_{\rm to}(G) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{u \in V} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \frac{\sum_{v \in N(u)} \phi_{uv}^t \phi_{uv}^{t+1}}{\sqrt{\sum_{v \in N(u)} \phi_{uv}^t \sum_{v \in N(u)} \phi_{uv}^{t+1}}} \in [0, 1],$$

where  $\phi_{uv}^t = 1$  iff. there exists a temporal edges between u and v at time t and zero otherwise

value close to zero: many edges change between consecutive time steps

## **Topological Overlap**

quantifies the persistency of edges through time

(Tang et al., 2010b)

the topological overlap is defined as

$$T_{to}(G) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{u \in V} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \frac{\sum_{v \in N(u)} \phi_{uv}^{t} \phi_{uv}^{t+1}}{\sqrt{\sum_{v \in N(u)} \phi_{uv}^{t} \sum_{v \in N(u)} \phi_{uv}^{t+1}}} \in [0, 1],$$

where  $\phi_{uv}^t = 1$  iff. there exists a temporal edges between u and v at time t and zero otherwise

- value close to zero: many edges change between consecutive time steps
- value close to one: means there are often only a few changes.

# **Temporal Clustering Coefficient**

• the temporal clustering coefficient of node u in time interval I is defined as (Tang et al., 2009)

$$C_{\mathcal{C}}(u,I) = \frac{\sum_{t \in I} \pi_t(u)}{|I| \binom{|N(u)|}{2}},$$

where  $\pi_t(u) =$  number of edges between neighbors of u at time t

- adaption of static clustering coefficient
- quantifies how close a nodes neighbors are to being a clique during time interval I

## Temporal Clustering Coefficient



- human contact network at MIT campus using bluetooth scanning every 5 minutes
- global temporal clustering coefficient for each day
- higher during middle of the week and no clustering on holidays

(Tang et al., 2009)
## Centrality Measures – Finding Important Nodes

# Centrality Measures

#### Task

- ▶ assign to each node  $v \in V$  a centrality value c(v)
- the higher c(v) the more important is v

#### many centrality measures on static graphs:

e.g., degree, closeness, betweenness, Katz centrality, PageRank, ...

# Centrality Measures

#### Task

- ▶ assign to each node  $v \in V$  a centrality value c(v)
- the higher c(v) the more important is v

#### many centrality measures on static graphs:

e.g., degree, closeness, betweenness, Katz centrality, PageRank, ...

### Many important applications:

- identify key players, super spreaders, important persons, ...
- ranking web pages
- H-index used for ranking academics



# Temporal Centrality Measures

many common centrality measures are walk or path based

classification in medial and radial

(Borgatti and Everett, 2006)

# Temporal Centrality Measures

many common centrality measures are walk or path based

classification in medial and radial

radial: captures node influence over its neighbors

count incoming or outgoing walks or paths

medial: captures node role as intermediary

count walks or paths passing node

(Borgatti and Everett, 2006)



# Temporal Centrality Measures

many common centrality measures are walk or path based

classification in medial and radial

radial: captures node influence over its neighbors

count incoming or outgoing walks or paths

medial: captures node role as intermediary

count walks or paths passing node

### Common approach for temporal networks:

replace path or walks with time-respecting paths or walks

(Borgatti and Everett, 2006)



# **Temporal Centrality**

Name	Туре		Use-case
temporal degree	radial	-	identify nodes with high degree
temporal closeness	radial	paths	identify nodes that can reach other nodes fast (or can be reached fast)
temporal pagerank	radial	walks	adapts static pagerank to capture concept drift
temporal katz	radial	walks	identify nodes with many incoming temporal walks
temporal H-index	radial	walks	identification of super-spreaders
temporal betweenness	medial	paths	identify nodes passed by many optimal temporal paths
temporal walk centrality	medial	walks	identify nodes that can obtain and distribute information

#### choosing the right centrality measure depends on use-case

many further temporal centrality variants, e.g., temporal eigenvector, temporal gravity, etc. (Hu et al., 2015; Rocha and Masuda, 2014; Tang et al., 2010a; Tsalouchidou et al., 2020; Bi et al., 2021; Elmezain et al., 2021; Zaoli et al., 2019; Tao et al., 2022; Taylor et al., 2021; Rozenshtein and Gionis, 2016) ...

# **Temporal Centrality**

Name	Туре		Use-case
temporal degree	radial	-	identify nodes with high degree
temporal closeness	radial	paths	identify nodes that can reach other nodes fast (or can be reached fast)
temporal pagerank	radial	walks	adapts static pagerank to capture concept drift
temporal katz	radial	walks	identify nodes with many incoming temporal walks
temporal H-index	radial	walks	identification of super-spreaders
temporal betweenness	medial	paths	identify nodes passed by many optimal temporal paths
temporal walk centrality	medial	walks	identify nodes that can obtain and distribute information

#### choosing the right centrality measure depends on use-case

many further temporal centrality variants, e.g., temporal eigenvector, temporal gravity, etc. (Hu et al., 2015; Rocha and Masuda, 2014; Tang et al., 2010a; Tsalouchidou et al., 2020; Bi et al., 2021; Elmezain et al., 2021; Zaoli et al., 2019; Tao et al., 2022; Taylor et al., 2021; Rozenshtein and Gionis, 2016) ...

### From Static to Temporal Closeness

Static harmonic closeness:

$$C_c(u) = \sum_{v \in V \setminus \{u\}} \frac{1}{d_s(u,v)}$$

- $d_s(u, v)$  is shortest path distance
- high centrality means short paths to many other nodes
- ▶ temporal: replace  $d_s(u, v)$  with temporal distance

#### several different variants

(Wu et al., 2014; Crescenzi et al., 2020; Tang et al., 2010a; Santoro et al., 2011; Gao et al., 2015)

# **Temporal Closeness**

Harmonic temporal closeness for  $u \in V$ :

$$c(u) = \sum_{v \in V \setminus \{u\}} \frac{1}{d(u,v)}$$

d(u, v) is the minimum duration distance (i.e., arrival time - starting time).

#### Use case

find nodes that spread information fast

### **Computation:**

- call minimum duration streaming algorithm (Wu et al., 2014) for each node
- lack of scalability



# Temporal Closeness – Top-k Computation

Top-k closeness problem: find all nodes with one of the k topmost closeness values

#### Top-k closeness computation

- for each vertex  $u \in V$ 
  - ▶ run min. duration algorithm to compute d(u, v) for all  $v \in V$ 
    - if upper bound of c(u) is smaller than k-th largest value: stop computation early



(Oettershagen and Mutzel, 2022)

**Problem:** rank all nodes according to temporal closeness.

Indexing approach

- index to speed up minimum duration computation
- two phases: (i) indexing and (ii) query phase

(Oettershagen and Mutzel, 2023)

## Temporal Closeness - Index

#### Construction:

- construct k subgraphs  $\{S_1, \ldots, S_k\} = S$
- Find mapping f: V → S that assigns to each S<sub>j</sub> ∈ S all vertices v ∈ V s.t. all edges reachable from v are in f(v) = S<sub>j</sub>
- ▶ minimize size max<sub>S∈S</sub>{|S|}







### Optimal assignment is NP-hard

### Temporal Closeness – Index

Approximation ratio of greedy:

 $\frac{\textit{size}(\text{GREEDY})}{\textit{size}(\text{OPT})} \leq \frac{k}{\delta},$ 

with  $1 \leq \delta \leq k$  depending on the topology of the graph

**Time complexity:** O(nmk)

- ▶ n = |V| rounds, m = |E|
- ▶ each round determine  $S_j$  such that greedy choice is minimal in  $\mathcal{O}(m)$  for  $j \in \{1, ..., k\}$

Shared memory parallelization:  $\mathcal{O}(\frac{nmk}{P})$  using P processors (CREW)

### Temporal Closeness – Index

OOT—Out of time after 7 days. number of subgraphs k = 2048.

Data set	V	<i>E</i>	BASELINE	Top-100	SubStream
Infectious	10972	415912	12.06 s	2.25 s	<b>1.51</b> s
Prosper	89 269	3 394 978	1 665.20 s	260.87 s	102.40 s
Arxiv Youtube	28 093 3 223 585	4 596 803 9 375 374	630.60 s 145 98 h	398.50 s 81.21 h	286.86 s 59 72 h
StackOverflow	2 464 606	17 823 525	OOT	107.66 h	86.49 h

- ▶ BASELINE: Streaming algorithm (Wu et al., 2014)
- TOP-100: Top-k algorithm with k = 100
- ► SUBSTREAM: Index based computation

# Temporal Centrality

Name	Туре		Use-case
temporal degree	radial	-	identify nodes with high degree
temporal closeness	radial	paths	identify nodes that can reach other nodes fast (or can be reached fast)
temporal pagerank	radial	walks	adapts static pagerank to capture concept drift
temporal katz	radial	walks	identify nodes with many incoming temporal walks
temporal H-index	radial	walks	identification of super-spreaders
temporal betweenness	medial	paths	identify nodes passed by many optimal temporal paths
temporal walk centrality	medial	walks	identify nodes that can obtain and distribute information

#### choosing the right centrality measure depends on use-case

many further temporal centrality variants, e.g., temporal eigenvector, temporal gravity, etc. (Hu et al., 2015; Rocha and Masuda, 2014; Tang et al., 2010a; Tsalouchidou et al., 2020; Bi et al., 2021; Elmezain et al., 2021; Zaoli et al., 2019; Tao et al., 2022; Taylor et al., 2021; Rozenshtein and Gionis, 2016) ...

- ► the H-index was originally proposed by J. E. Hirsch 2005 → measuring the productivity and impact of scientists
- the maximum value of h such that the author has published at least h papers that have each been cited at least h times

paper ID	citations	counted in H-index
1	25	Yes
2	18	Yes
3	12	Yes
4	9	Yes
5	7	Yes
6	5	No
7	3	No

- ► the H-index was originally proposed by J. E. Hirsch 2005 → measuring the productivity and impact of scientists
- the maximum value of h such that the author has published at least h papers that have each been cited at least h times

paper ID	citations	counted in H-index
1	25	Yes
2	18	Yes
3	12	Yes
4	9	Yes
5	7	Yes
6	5	No
7	3	No

Recently used for quantifying spreading influence

(Lü et al., 2016)

▶  $\mathcal{H}: \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{N}_0$  returns for a multiset of integers  $S \subseteq \{\!\!\{s \mid s \in \mathbb{N}_0\}\!\!\}$  the maximum integer *i* such that there are at least *i* elements *s* in *S* with  $s \ge i$ 

▶  $\mathcal{H}: \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{N}_0$  returns for a multiset of integers  $S \subseteq \{\!\!\{s \mid s \in \mathbb{N}_0\}\!\!\}$  the maximum integer *i* such that there are at least *i* elements *s* in *S* with  $s \ge i$ 

*n*-th order H-index  $s_u^{(n)}$  of a node *u* in a static graph:

• let  $s_u^{(0)} = \delta(u)$  the degree of node *u*, then

$$s_u^{(n)} = \mathcal{H}\left( \{\!\!\{ s_v^{(n-1)} \mid v \in V ext{ and } v ext{ is neighbor of } u \}\!\!\}
ight)$$

• the value of  $s_u^{(1)}$  corresponds to the H-index of u

(Lü et al., 2016)

► the multiset N(v, t) contains all pairs of nodes and times (w, t<sub>w</sub>) such that there is a temporal edge from v to w leaving at time t' ≥ t and arriving at time t<sub>w</sub>

► the multiset N(v, t) contains all pairs of nodes and times (w, t<sub>w</sub>) such that there is a temporal edge from v to w leaving at time t' ≥ t and arriving at time t<sub>w</sub>

#### Definition

The *n*-th order temporal H-index of a node  $v \in V$  is defined as  $h_v^{(n)} = h_{v,0}^{(n)}$  with

$$h_{v,t}^{(n)} = \mathcal{H}\left(\left\{\!\!\left\{ \left. h_{w,t_w}^{(n-1)} \; \middle| \; (w,t_w) \in \mathcal{N}(v,t) 
ight\}\!\!\right\}\!\!\right\}\!\right),$$

and  $h_{v,t}^{(0)} = |\mathcal{N}(v,t)|.$ 

► the multiset N(v, t) contains all pairs of nodes and times (w, t<sub>w</sub>) such that there is a temporal edge from v to w leaving at time t' ≥ t and arriving at time t<sub>w</sub>

#### Definition

The *n*-th order temporal H-index of a node  $v \in V$  is defined as  $h_v^{(n)} = h_{v,0}^{(n)}$  with

$$h_{v,t}^{(n)} = \mathcal{H}\left(\left\{\!\!\left\{ \left. h_{w,t_w}^{(n-1)} \; \middle| \; (w,t_w) \in \mathcal{N}(v,t) 
ight\}\!\!\right\}\!\!\right\}\!\right),$$

and  $h_{v,t}^{(0)} = |\mathcal{N}(v,t)|.$ 

#### **Computation:**

- ▶ single-pass streaming algorithm for each node *i*-th order H-indices for  $0 \le i \le n$
- ▶ running time in  $\mathcal{O}(|E|n\delta_{\max})$  and space in  $\mathcal{O}(|V|n\delta_{\max})$

(Oettershagen et al., 2023b)



(a) Temporal network  $\mathcal{G}$ .

(b) The reachability tree  $\Gamma(f)$  for vertex f in the temporal network shown in (a).

$$h_{f,0}^{(1)} = \mathcal{H}(\{\{h_{d,2}^{(0)}, h_{e,2}^{(0)}, h_{b,2}^{(0)}, h_{g,2}^{(0)}\}\}) = \mathcal{H}(\{\{3, 2, 4, 3\}\}) = 3$$





(a) Temporal network  $\mathcal{G}$ .



$$h_{f,0}^{(2)} =$$



 $h_{f,0}^{(2)} = \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{h_{d,2}^{(1)}, h_{e,2}^{(1)}, h_{h,2}^{(1)}, h_{g,2}^{(1)}\}\!\!\})$ 



$$\begin{split} h_{f,0}^{(2)} &= \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{h_{d,2}^{(1)}, h_{e,2}^{(1)}, h_{h,2}^{(1)}, h_{g,2}^{(1)}\}\!\!\}) \\ &= \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{H_{g,5}^{(0)}, h_{e,3}^{(0)}, h_{a,6}^{(0)}\}\!\!\}), \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{h_{d,3}^{(0)}, h_{h,4}^{(0)}\}\!\!\}), \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{h_{e,4}^{(0)}, h_{i,6}^{(0)}, h_{j,6}^{(0)}, h_{g,5}^{(0)}\}\!\!\}), \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{h_{c,5}^{(0)}, h_{d,5}^{(0)}, h_{h,5}^{(0)}\}\!\!\})) \} \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} h_{f,0}^{(2)} &= \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{h_{d,2}^{(1)}, h_{e,2}^{(1)}, h_{h,2}^{(1)}, h_{g,2}^{(1)}\}\!\!\}) \\ &= \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{H_{d,2}^{(0)}, h_{e,3}^{(0)}, h_{a,6}^{(0)}\}\!\!\}), \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{h_{d,3}^{(0)}, h_{h,4}^{(0)}\}\!\!\}), \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{h_{e,4}^{(0)}, h_{i,6}^{(0)}, h_{j,6}^{(0)}, h_{g,5}^{(0)}\}\!\!\}), \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{h_{c,5}^{(0)}, h_{d,5}^{(0)}, h_{h,5}^{(0)}\}\!\!\})) \\ &= \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{H_{d,2}^{(0)}, 1, 1\}\!\!\}), \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{2,3\}\!\!\}), \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{0,1,1,0\}\!\!\}), \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{1,1,2\}\!\!\})\}\!\!\}) \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} h_{f,0}^{(2)} &= \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{h_{d,2}^{(1)}, h_{e,2}^{(1)}, h_{h,2}^{(1)}, h_{g,2}^{(1)}\}\!\!\}) \\ &= \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{H_{d,2}^{(0)}, h_{e,3}^{(0)}, h_{o,3}^{(0)}\}\!\!\}), \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{h_{d,3}^{(0)}, h_{h,4}^{(0)}\}\!\!\}), \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{h_{e,4}^{(0)}, h_{i,6}^{(0)}, h_{j,6}^{(0)}, h_{g,5}^{(0)}\}\!\!\}), \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{h_{c,5}^{(0)}, h_{d,5}^{(0)}, h_{h,5}^{(0)}\}\!\!\})) \}) \\ &= \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{H_{d,2}^{(0)}, 1, 1\}\!\!\}), \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{2,3\}\!\!\}), \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{0,1,1,0\}\!\!\}), \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{1,1,2\}\!\!\})\}\!\!\}) = \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{1,2,1,1\}\!\!\}) = 1 \end{split}$$

#### Use Case: Influential spreader identification

- computed for different infection probabilities  $\beta$  the mean node influence  $R_u$  over 1000 independent SIR simulations leading to the SIR node rankings
- compared the SIR rankings with those obtained by the centrality measures using the Kendall  $\tau_b$  rank correlation measure



# Temporal Centrality

Name	Туре		Use-case
temporal degree	radial	-	identify nodes with high degree
temporal closeness	radial	paths	identify nodes that can reach other nodes fast (or can be reached fast)
temporal pagerank	radial	walks	adapts static pagerank to capture concept drift
temporal katz	radial	walks	identify nodes with many incoming temporal walks
temporal H-index	radial	walks	identification of super-spreaders
temporal betweenness	medial	paths	identify nodes passed by many optimal temporal paths
temporal walk centrality	medial	walks	identify nodes that can obtain and distribute information

#### choosing the right centrality measure depends on use-case

many further temporal centrality variants, e.g., temporal eigenvector, temporal gravity, etc. (Hu et al., 2015; Rocha and Masuda, 2014; Tang et al., 2010a; Tsalouchidou et al., 2020; Bi et al., 2021; Elmezain et al., 2021; Zaoli et al., 2019; Tao et al., 2022; Taylor et al., 2021; Rozenshtein and Gionis, 2016) ...

• node importance of  $u \in V$  in terms of number of optimal paths visiting u

$$B(u) = \sum_{s \neq u \neq z \in V} \frac{\sigma_{s,z}(u)}{\sigma_{s,z}}$$

- $\sigma_{s,z}$  number of shortest s, z-paths
- $\sigma_{s,z}(u)$  number of shortest s, z-paths visiting u

• node importance of  $u \in V$  in terms of number of optimal paths visiting u

$$B(u) = \sum_{s \neq u \neq z \in V} \frac{\sigma_{s,z}(u)}{\sigma_{s,z}}$$

- $\sigma_{s,z}$  number of shortest s, z-paths
- $\sigma_{s,z}(u)$  number of shortest s, z-paths visiting u
- Brandes' algorithm: iteratively calculates the shortest paths using dynamic programming, efficiently updating centrality scores

• node importance of  $u \in V$  in terms of number of optimal paths visiting u

$$B(u) = \sum_{s \neq u \neq z \in V} \frac{\sigma_{s,z}(u)}{\sigma_{s,z}}$$

- $\sigma_{s,z}$  number of shortest s, z-paths
- $\sigma_{s,z}(u)$  number of shortest s, z-paths visiting u
- Brandes' algorithm: iteratively calculates the shortest paths using dynamic programming, efficiently updating centrality scores
- ▶ idea: replace shortest paths with optimal temporal paths (Kim and Anderson, 2012)

▶ node importance of  $u \in V$  in terms of number of optimal paths visiting u

$$B(u) = \sum_{s \neq u \neq z \in V} \frac{\sigma_{s,z}(u)}{\sigma_{s,z}}$$

- $\sigma_{s,z}$  number of shortest s, z-paths
- $\sigma_{s,z}(u)$  number of shortest s, z-paths visiting u
- Brandes' algorithm: iteratively calculates the shortest paths using dynamic programming, efficiently updating centrality scores
- ▶ idea: replace shortest paths with optimal temporal paths (Kim and Anderson, 2012)
- computing betweenness values is at least as hard as counting optimal paths

# **Temporal Betweenness**

Overview over the complexity of computing temporal betweenness centrality

path type	strict	non-strict
minhop	$\mathcal{O}(n^3 \cdot T^2)$	$\mathcal{O}(n^3 \cdot T^2)$
earliest arrival	#P-hard	#P-hard
fastest	#P-hard	#P-hard
prefix-earliest arrival	$\mathcal{O}(n \cdot m \cdot \log m)$	#P-hard
minhop earliest arrival	$\mathcal{O}(n^3 \cdot T^2)$	$\mathcal{O}(n^3 \cdot T^2)$

Prefix-earliest arrival: every prefix of the temporal path is an earliest arrival path

computation using adapted Brandes' algorithm

(Buß et al., 2020)
## **Temporal Betweenness**

more possible temporal walks and path types

(Rymar et al., 2021)

- characterization of properties such that path are efficient countable

### **Temporal Betweenness**

more possible temporal walks and path types

(Rymar et al., 2021)

- characterization of properties such that path are efficient countable
- approximation algorithms

(Santoro and Sarpe, 2022; Cruciani, 2023)

- sampling-based approximations for different kinds of temporal path types

more possible temporal walks and path types

(Rymar et al., 2021)

- characterization of properties such that path are efficient countable
- approximation algorithms
  (Santoro and Sarpe, 2022; Cruciani, 2023)
  - sampling-based approximations for different kinds of temporal path types
- comparison of different proxies for temporal betweenness

replacing global centrality with local pass-through-degree

(Becker et al., 2023)

Name	Ту	ре	Use-case
temporal degree	radial	-	identify nodes with high degree
temporal closeness	radial	paths	identify nodes that can reach other nodes fast (or can be reached fast)
temporal pagerank	radial	walks	adapts static pagerank to capture concept drift
temporal katz	radial	walks	identify nodes with many incoming temporal walks
temporal H-index	radial	walks	identification of super-spreaders
temporal betweenness	medial	paths	identify nodes passed by many optimal temporal paths
temporal walk centrality	medial	walks	identify nodes that can obtain and distribute information

#### choosing the right centrality measure depends on use-case

many further temporal centrality variants, e.g., temporal eigenvector, temporal gravity, etc. (Hu et al., 2015; Rocha and Masuda, 2014; Tang et al., 2010a; Tsalouchidou et al., 2020; Bi et al., 2021; Elmezain et al., 2021; Zaoli et al., 2019; Tao et al., 2022; Taylor et al., 2021; Rozenshtein and Gionis, 2016) ...

let  $Y_{in}(u, t)$  and  $Y_{out}(u, t)$  be the sets of incoming and outgoing temporal walks, resp., at node u and time t.

- let  $Y_{in}(u, t)$  and  $Y_{out}(u, t)$  be the sets of incoming and outgoing temporal walks, resp., at node u and time t.
- ▶ for weighting functions  $\tau_{\Phi_{in}}$  and  $\tau_{\Phi_{out}}$ , define

$$W_{in}(u,t) = \sum_{\omega \in Y_{in}(u,t)} au_{\phi_{in}}(\omega) \text{ and } W_{out}(u,t) = \sum_{\omega \in Y_{out}(u,t)} au_{\phi_{out}}(\omega)$$

- let  $Y_{in}(u, t)$  and  $Y_{out}(u, t)$  be the sets of incoming and outgoing temporal walks, resp., at node u and time t.
- for weighting functions  $\tau_{\Phi_{in}}$  and  $\tau_{\Phi_{out}}$ , define

$$W_{in}(u,t) = \sum_{\omega \in Y_{in}(u,t)} au_{\phi_{in}}(\omega) \text{ and } W_{out}(u,t) = \sum_{\omega \in Y_{out}(u,t)} au_{\phi_{out}}(\omega)$$

#### Temporal Walk Centrality

The temporal walk centrality of a vertex  $u \in V$  is

$$C(u) = \sum_{t_1, t_2 \in T(\mathcal{G}), t_1 \leq t_2} \left( \boldsymbol{W}_{in}(u, t_1) \cdot \boldsymbol{W}_{out}(u, t_2) \cdot \Phi_m(t_1, t_2) \right).$$

- let  $Y_{in}(u, t)$  and  $Y_{out}(u, t)$  be the sets of incoming and outgoing temporal walks, resp., at node u and time t.
- for weighting functions  $\tau_{\Phi_{in}}$  and  $\tau_{\Phi_{out}}$ , define

$$W_{in}(u,t) = \sum_{\omega \in Y_{in}(u,t)} au_{\phi_{in}}(\omega) \text{ and } W_{out}(u,t) = \sum_{\omega \in Y_{out}(u,t)} au_{\phi_{out}}(\omega)$$

#### Temporal Walk Centrality

The temporal walk centrality of a vertex  $u \in V$  is

$$C(u) = \sum_{t_1, t_2 \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G}), t_1 \leq t_2} \left( \boldsymbol{W}_{in}(u, t_1) \cdot \boldsymbol{W}_{out}(u, t_2) \cdot \Phi_m(t_1, t_2) \right).$$

Captures a nodes ability to obtain and pass on information



**Directed line graph** 



▶ temporal walk in G of length  $\ell + 1 \Leftrightarrow$  walk of length  $\ell$  in D(G)



**Directed line graph** 



• temporal walk in G of length  $\ell + 1 \Leftrightarrow$  walk of length  $\ell$  in D(G)

► walks can be computed by matrix powers: Neumann series and the identity ∑<sub>ℓ=0</sub><sup>∞</sup> A<sup>ℓ</sup> = (I - A)<sup>-1</sup> holds if the sum converges—guaranteed when largest absolute eigenvalue less than one



Directed line graph



- temporal walk in G of length  $\ell + 1 \Leftrightarrow$  walk of length  $\ell$  in D(G)
- ► walks can be computed by matrix powers: Neumann series and the identity ∑<sub>ℓ=0</sub><sup>∞</sup> A<sup>ℓ</sup> = (I A)<sup>-1</sup> holds if the sum converges—guaranteed when largest absolute eigenvalue less than one
- computation in  $\mathcal{O}(|E|^{2.373})$  using matrix inversion
- approximation with power iteration in  $\mathcal{O}(k(|E|^2))$

#### Two-Pass Streaming Algorithm

- input: edge sequence in chronological order, ties broken arbitrarily
- forward pass for computing incoming walks for W<sub>in</sub>
- backward pass for computing outgoing walks for W<sub>out</sub>
- running time  $\mathcal{O}(|E| \cdot \tau_{max})$
- $\tau_{max}$  the largest cardinality of availability or arrival times at a node



(a) temporal walk centr.

(b) temporal between.

(c) static walk between.

- enron email subgraph: of 38 nodes and 541 temporal edges.
- $\blacktriangleright$  colors represent centrality value: darker  $\rightarrow$  higher centrality.

#### Temporal closeness

- many different variants
- intuitive, several approaches for improving computation times



#### Temporal closeness

- many different variants
- intuitive, several approaches for improving computation times

#### Temporal H-index

- non-intuitive definition
- able to capture the spreading capabilities well, efficient



### Temporal closeness

- many different variants
- intuitive, several approaches for improving computation times

#### Temporal H-index

- non-intuitive definition
- able to capture the spreading capabilities well, efficient

#### Temporal betweenness

- not scalable and many variants hard to compute
- intuitive, several approaches for improving computation times



### Temporal closeness

- many different variants
- intuitive, several approaches for improving computation times

### Temporal H-index

- non-intuitive definition
- able to capture the spreading capabilities well, efficient

#### Temporal betweenness

- not scalable and many variants hard to compute
- intuitive, several approaches for improving computation times

#### Temporal walk centrality

- no ranking of sinks or sources
- intuitive and efficient



### Temporal closeness

- many different variants
- intuitive, several approaches for improving computation times

### Temporal H-index

- non-intuitive definition
- able to capture the spreading capabilities well, efficient

#### Temporal betweenness

- not scalable and many variants hard to compute
- intuitive, several approaches for improving computation times
- Temporal walk centrality
  - no ranking of sinks or sources
  - intuitive and efficient
- choosing the right centrality measure depends on data and use-case



### Temporal Core Decompositions

## k-Core Decomposition

- **•** k-core is a max. subgraph  $G_k$  of G, s.t. every node in  $G_k$  has at least k neighbors in  $G_k$
- ▶ node *u* has core number c(u) = k if *u* belongs to a *k*-core but not the k + 1-core



(Seidman, 1983; Kong et al., 2019)

 identifying communities and dense graphs in social networks



- identifying communities and dense graphs in social networks
- anomaly detection



- identifying communities and dense graphs in social networks
- anomaly detection
- network visualization



- identifying communities and dense graphs in social networks
- anomaly detection
- network visualization
- analyzing backbone structure of the internet



- identifying communities and dense graphs in social networks
- anomaly detection
- network visualization
- analyzing backbone structure of the internet
- network resilience and robustness



- identifying communities and dense graphs in social networks
- anomaly detection
- network visualization
- analyzing backbone structure of the internet
- network resilience and robustness
- study of spreading processes



- identifying communities and dense graphs in social networks
- anomaly detection
- network visualization
- analyzing backbone structure of the internet
- network resilience and robustness
- study of spreading processes
- analyzing functional brain networks



# Temporal k-Core Decompositions

Variant	Ref.	Running Time	Description
Historical <i>k</i> -core	(Yu et al., 2021)	$\mathcal{O}(\log m + m_l)$	static cores spanning fixed interval I
Time-range k-core	(Yang et al., 2023)	$\mathcal{O}(\log m +  I  \cdot m_I)$	static cores in fixed interval
( <i>k</i> , <i>h</i> )-core	(Wu et al., 2015)	$\mathcal{O}(n+m)$	parallel temporal edges
Span-core	(Galimberti et al., 2020)	$\mathcal{O}( T ^2 \cdot m)$	cores spanning intervals
$(k, \Delta)$ -core	(Oettershagen et al., 2023a)	$\mathcal{O}(m \cdot \delta_m)$	based on temporal edge degree

more variants available (Lotito and Montresor, 2020; Hung and Tseng, 2021; Qin et al., 2022, 2020; Li et al., 2018; Oettershagen et al., 2023b) ...

choosing the right one depends on available data and application

## Historical and Time-Range k-Cores



- definitions based on time window graph
  - static, aggregated graph for time window I
- historical: find a static at least k-core in time window graph for given time interval I
- time-range: find all distinct at least k-cores in all possible time windows in time interval I

(Yu et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2023)

### Historical and Time-Range k-Cores

6-cores of Prof. Jiawei Han's ego network on the DBLP snapshots



- straight-forward computation: restrict to interval (or subintervals)
- index-based solution to support the k-core query for every possible time window and integer k

(Yu et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2023)

# (k, h)-Cores

#### Definition

(k, h)-core is the largest subgraph H such that every v in H must have at least k neighbors in H, where each such neighbor must be connected to v with at least h temporal edges

can be interpreted as core decomposition for multi(-layer) graphs





(b) Underlying multi graph

nodes {a, b, c, d} induce a (2, 2)-core



#### Definition

- the (k, Δ)-core is a maximal set of vertices C such that C is a k-core over the complete span of time interval Δ (each edge of the core exists in each time step in Δ)
- $\blacktriangleright$  a span-core is maximal if no other span-core dominates it in k or  $\Delta$

#### Definition

- the (k, Δ)-core is a maximal set of vertices C such that C is a k-core over the complete span of time interval Δ (each edge of the core exists in each time step in Δ)
  - a span-core is maximal if no other span-core dominates it in k or Δ

#### **Applications:**



#### Definition

- the (k, Δ)-core is a maximal set of vertices C such that C is a k-core over the complete span of time interval Δ (each edge of the core exists in each time step in Δ)
- a span-core is maximal if no other span-core dominates it in k or Δ

#### **Applications:**

- community search
- identify temporal patterns

#### Definition

- the (k, Δ)-core is a maximal set of vertices C such that C is a k-core over the complete span of time interval Δ (each edge of the core exists in each time step in Δ)
- a span-core is maximal if no other span-core dominates it in k or Δ

#### **Applications:**

- community search
- identify temporal patterns
- anomaly detection

#### Definition

- the (k, Δ)-core is a maximal set of vertices C such that C is a k-core over the complete span of time interval Δ (each edge of the core exists in each time step in Δ)
- a span-core is maximal if no other span-core dominates it in k or Δ

#### **Applications:**

- community search
- identify temporal patterns
- anomaly detection
- graph embedding and vertex classification
#### Definition

- the (k, Δ)-core is a maximal set of vertices C such that C is a k-core over the complete span of time interval Δ (each edge of the core exists in each time step in Δ)
- a span-core is maximal if no other span-core dominates it in k or Δ

### **Applications:**

- community search
- identify temporal patterns
- anomaly detection
- graph embedding and vertex classification
- containing or maximizing spreading

(Galimberti et al., 2020; Ciaperoni et al., 2020)

Application: Temporal pattern identification

temporal activity of a high school day



(Galimberti et al., 2020)

### Application: Temporal pattern identification

- temporal activity of a high school day
- span-core decomposition detects time-evolving cohesive substructures



(Galimberti et al., 2020)

### Application: Temporal pattern identification

- temporal activity of a high school day
- span-core decomposition detects time-evolving cohesive substructures
- these completely disappear in the reshuffled data set



(Galimberti et al., 2020)

# $(k, \Delta)$ -cores

### Definition

- $\Delta$ -degree of an edge is the minimum number of edges incident to one of its endpoints that have a temporal distance of at most  $\Delta$
- the (k, Δ)-core is the inclusion-maximal edge-induced subgraph C<sub>(k,Δ)</sub> of G such that each temporal edge e = ({u, v}, t) in C<sub>(k,Δ)</sub> has at least a Δ-degree of d<sub>Δ</sub>(e) ≥ k + 1.

# $(k, \Delta)$ -cores

### Definition

- $\blacktriangleright$   $\Delta$ -degree of an edge is the minimum number of edges incident to one of its endpoints that have a temporal distance of at most  $\Delta$
- the (k, Δ)-core is the inclusion-maximal edge-induced subgraph C<sub>(k,Δ)</sub> of G such that each temporal edge e = ({u, v}, t) in C<sub>(k,Δ)</sub> has at least a Δ-degree of d<sub>Δ</sub>(e) ≥ k + 1.



 each edge in a (k, Δ)-core is at both ends incident to at least k + 1 edges in the core with temporal distance at most Δ
(Oettershagen et al., 2023a)

# $(k, \Delta)$ -cores

### Application:

- analyzing malicious retweets in the Twitter network
- ▶ the most inner cores only contain misinformation for  $\Delta = 1$  hour



Historical and time range k-core

- based on time window graphs but efficient



### ► Historical and time range *k*-core

- based on time window graphs but efficient
- ▶ (*k*, *h*)-core
  - considers only multilayer graph, also efficient



### Historical and time range k-core

- based on time window graphs but efficient
- ▶ (*k*, *h*)-core
  - considers only multilayer graph, also efficient
- Span-core
  - needs dense sequence of static graphs, also not very scalable
  - shown to be useful in wide range of applications



### Historical and time range k-core

- based on time window graphs but efficient
- ▶ (*k*, *h*)-core
  - considers only multilayer graph, also efficient
- Span-core
  - needs dense sequence of static graphs, also not very scalable
  - shown to be useful in wide range of applications
- ► (k, Δ)-core
  - can handle fine-grained data and efficient



### Historical and time range k-core

- based on time window graphs but efficient
- ▶ (*k*, *h*)-core
  - considers only multilayer graph, also efficient
- Span-core
  - needs dense sequence of static graphs, also not very scalable
  - shown to be useful in wide range of applications
- ► (k, Δ)-core
  - can handle fine-grained data and efficient
- choosing the right one depends on available data and application



# Agenda

### Part I : Introduction and Motivation

- models of temporal networks
- algorithmic approaches

### Part II : Mining Temporal Networks A:

- connectivity, temporal properties
- centrality, cores

### Part III : Mining Temporal Networks B:

- communities, patterns and events
- diffusion and random networks
- Part IV : Tools and Code Libraries
- Part V : Challenges, Open Problems, and Trends

# Part IV Mining Temporal Networks B

# Community Detection

# **Temporal Communities**

Identifying communities is a fundamental task in *computer* and *network* science.



## **Temporal Communities**

Question: How do we define a temporal community?

## **Temporal Communities**

Question: How do we define a temporal community?



## Communities in Static Networks

"community" = "umbrella term"

extensive surveys

(Fortunato and Hric, 2016; Su et al., 2024)

- possible definitions
  - a community is a set of nodes, closer to each other than to the rest of the network
  - a community is a "dense" subgraph

## Communities in Static Networks

"community" = "umbrella term"

extensive surveys

(Fortunato and Hric, 2016; Su et al., 2024)

- possible definitions
  - a community is a set of nodes, closer to each other than to the rest of the network
  - a community is a "dense" subgraph

- typical problem settings
  - a single community vs. network partitioning
  - overlapping vs. non-overlapping communities
  - local to some nodes vs. global



# Community Detection in Static Network

Usual workflow (data analysis)

- 1. pick a problem setting (e.g., partition in *k* communities vs identify a single local community)
- 2. pick a proper metric to quantify the "density" of the community S
  - average degree :  $\frac{|E(S)|}{2|S|}$
  - density:  $\frac{2|E(S)|}{|S|(|S|-1)}$
  - conductance:  $\frac{cut(S,\bar{S})}{\min\{vol(S),vol(\bar{S})\}}$
  - modularity
  - ...
- 3. identify/design proper algorithms to solve the problem
- 4. analyze data and, if needed repeat from steps 1. or 2.



## Analyses and Applications



social networks



- link prediction, targeted advertisement, content moderation, etc..

## Analyses and Applications



social networks



- link prediction, targeted advertisement, content moderation, etc..

 $\mathbb{X}$ 

financial networks



- fraud detection, money-laundry activities, etc..

# Analyses and Applications



social networks



- link prediction, targeted advertisement, content moderation, etc..
- financial networks



- fraud detection, money-laundry activities, etc..
- collaboration networks

. . .



 $\mathbb{X}$ 

- identifying trending topics, important group of nodes, etc..

### So what is new about temporal communities?



Temporal networks allow us to study communities according to their **temporal evolution**! Some representative behaviors,



Growing community C

Temporal networks allow us to study communities according to their **temporal evolution**! Some representative behaviors,

Growing community *C* Shrinking community *C* 



Temporal networks allow us to study communities according to their **temporal evolution**! Some representative behaviors,



Time T

temporal networks allow us to study communities according to their temporal evolution! Some representative behaviors,

Growing community *C* Shrinking community *C* Periodic community *C* Bursty community *C* 



Time T

Temporal networks allow us to study communities according to their **temporal evolution**! Some representative behaviors,

Growing community *C* Shrinking community *C* Periodic community *C* Bursty community *C* Merging communities



Are there proposed taxonomies?

Community Detection in Temporal Networks

Question How many taxonomies exist?

# Community Detection in Temporal Networks

### proposed taxonomies

- (Aynaud et al., 2013)
- (Aggarwal and Subbian, 2014)
- (Enugala et al., 2015)
- (Renaud and Naoki, 2016)
- (Hartmann et al., 2016)
- (Rossetti and Cazabet, 2018)
- (Dakiche et al., 2019)
- (Christopoulos and Tsichlas, 2022)



# Community Detection in Temporal Networks

### proposed taxonomies

- (Aynaud et al., 2013)
- (Aggarwal and Subbian, 2014)
- (Enugala et al., 2015)
- (Renaud and Naoki, 2016)
- (Hartmann et al., 2016)
- (Rossetti and Cazabet, 2018)
- (Dakiche et al., 2019)
- (Christopoulos and Tsichlas, 2022)



No need to panic: recall our steps for community identification!

# Temporal Community Detection

Proposed workflow (exploratory analysis), everything starts from data!

- 1. identify if *temporal* data is fine-grained or course-grained (!)
- 2. pick a problem setting ( $\checkmark$ )
- 3. pick a proper metric to quantify the "**temporal** *density*" of the community *S*, encoding the desired temporal properties (!)
- 4. identify/design proper algorithms to solve the problem
- 5. analyze data and, if needed repeat from steps 1. or 2.

Lets make it more concrete!

	Ь
<b>—</b>	
	0

## BFF: Finding Lasting Communities (Semertzidis et al., 2016)

Data. Consider a temporal network  $G = \{G_1, \ldots, G_T\}$ 

Setting. We want to find a single global *dense* community across all snapshots!

Implicit assumption. time is sufficiently course-grained so each snapshot has enough information

Metrics and temporal properties. Fix a time t, given  $S \subseteq V$  we define,

- $d_{avg}(S, G_t) = \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{u \in S} d(u, G_t[S]) = \frac{2|E(S, G_t)|}{|S|}$ , and
- $\blacktriangleright d_{min}(S, G_t) = \min_{u \in S} d(u, G_t[S])$

## BFF: Finding Lasting Communities (Semertzidis et al., 2016)

Data. Consider a temporal network  $G = \{G_1, \ldots, G_T\}$ 

Setting. We want to find a single global *dense* community across all snapshots!

Implicit assumption. time is sufficiently course-grained so each snapshot has enough information

Metrics and temporal properties. Fix a time t, given  $S \subseteq V$  we define,

• 
$$d_{avg}(S, G_t) = \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{u \in S} d(u, G_t[S]) = \frac{2|E(S, G_t)|}{|S|}$$
, and

 $\blacktriangleright d_{min}(S, G_t) = \min_{u \in S} d(u, G_t[S])$ 

Combining such values across snapshots, let  $- \in \{avg, min\}$ 

- $g_{min}(d_{-}(S,G)) = \min_{t=1,...,T} d_{-}(S,G_t)$
- $g_{avg}(d_{-}(S,G)) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t} d_{-}(S,G_{t})$
## BFF: Finding Lasting Communities (Semertzidis et al., 2016)

Data. Consider a temporal network  $G = \{G_1, \ldots, G_T\}$ 

Setting. We want to find a single global *dense* community across all snapshots!

Implicit assumption. time is sufficiently course-grained so each snapshot has enough information

Metrics and temporal properties. Fix a time t, given  $S \subseteq V$  we define,

• 
$$d_{avg}(S, G_t) = \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{u \in S} d(u, G_t[S]) = \frac{2|E(S, G_t)|}{|S|}$$
, and

 $\blacktriangleright d_{min}(S, G_t) = \min_{u \in S} d(u, G_t[S])$ 

Combining such values across snapshots, let  $- \in \{avg, min\}$ 

- $g_{min}(d_{-}(S,G)) = \min_{t=1,...,T} d_{-}(S,G_t)$
- $g_{avg}(d_{-}(S,G)) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t} d_{-}(S,G_t)$

So we finally have a score for a community  $S \subseteq V$ , that is given  $+, - \in \{avg, min\}$  we let

 $f_{+,-}(S,G) = g_+(d_-(S,G))$ 

# BFF: A Closer Look on the Metrics

#### Problem

Given  $G = (G_1, \ldots, G_T)$ , let  $+, - \in \{avg, min\}$  and a target density  $f_{+,-}$  find a subset of vertices  $S^* \subseteq V$  maximizing the objective  $f_{+,-}(S, G)$  over all communities.

Inspecting the objective values  $igodot_{\mathbf{x}}$ 

## BFF: A Closer Look on the Metrics

#### Problem

Given  $G = (G_1, \ldots, G_T)$ , let  $+, - \in \{avg, min\}$  and a target density  $f_{+,-}$  find a subset of vertices  $S^* \subseteq V$  maximizing the objective  $f_{+,-}(S, G)$  over all communities.

Inspecting the objective values  ${igodot}_{{igodot}}$ 

► 
$$f_{\min,\min}(S, G) = g_{\min}(d_{\min}(S, G)) = \min_{t=1,...,T} \min_{u \in S} d(u, G_t[S]))$$
  
minimum degree on *every* snapshot of *all* vertices  $v \in S^*$  is high

- f<sub>avg,min</sub>(S, G) = g<sub>avg</sub>(d<sub>min</sub>(S, G)) = <sup>1</sup>/<sub>T</sub> ∑<sub>t</sub> min<sub>u∈S</sub> d(u, G<sub>t</sub>[S])),
   on average the minimum degree of each node in S is high (more flexible than f<sub>min,min</sub>)
- ►  $f_{avg,avg}(S,G) = g_{avg}(d_{avg}(S,G)) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t} \frac{2|E(S,G_t)|}{|S|}$ , on average the average degree of each node in S is high

# BFF: Algorithm

Computing the solution, FindBFF (Inspired by Charikar (2000))

- 1. iteratively and greedily peel V removing at each step  $v = \arg \min_{v \in V} score(v, G[V])$
- 2. compute the density target density on the remaining network where  $V = V \setminus \{v\}$
- 3. return the vertex-set  $S \subseteq V$  maximizing the target density over all  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  iterations

# **BFF:** Algorithm

Computing the solution, FindBFF (Inspired by Charikar (2000))

- 1. iteratively and greedily peel V removing at each step  $v = \arg \min_{v \in V} score(v, G[V])$
- 2. compute the density target density on the remaining network where  $V = V \setminus \{v\}$
- 3. return the vertex-set  $S \subseteq V$  maximizing the target density over all  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  iterations

How is score(v, G[V]) computed? Depends on the objective f

- for  $f_{\min,\min}(S, G)$  we set  $score(v, G[V_i]) = \min_{t=1,\dots,T} d(v, G_t[V])$
- for  $f_{avg,avg}(S,G)$  we set  $score(v, G[V_i]) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_t d(v, G_t[V])$

# **BFF:** Algorithm

Computing the solution, FindBFF (Inspired by Charikar (2000))

- 1. iteratively and greedily peel V removing at each step  $v = \arg \min_{v \in V} score(v, G[V])$
- 2. compute the density target density on the remaining network where  $V = V \setminus \{v\}$
- 3. return the vertex-set  $S \subseteq V$  maximizing the target density over all  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  iterations

How is score(v, G[V]) computed? Depends on the objective f

- ▶ for  $f_{min,min}(S,G)$  we set  $score(v, G[V_i]) = \min_{t=1,...,T} d(v, G_t[V])$
- for  $f_{avg,avg}(S,G)$  we set  $score(v, G[V_i]) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_t d(v, G_t[V])$

Resulting algorithms run in  $\mathcal{O}(nT + \sum_t |E_t|)$ 

- ▶ FindBFF-MM (*f<sub>min,min</sub>*), finds the optimal solution
- FindBFF-AA  $(f_{avg,avg})$ , finds a  $\frac{1}{2}$ -approximation
- ▶ BFF-AM  $(f_{avg,min})$  is NP-hard, FindBFF achieves an approximation at most  $O(n^{-1})$
- ▶ BFF-MA  $(f_{min,avg})$ , "complexity = ?", FindBFF achieves an approximation at most  $O(n^{-1/2})$

# On the Complexity of BFF-MA



On this line of research Charikar et al. (2018) proved

- $f_{\min,avg}(S,G)$  cannot be approximated within  $2^{\log^{1-\varepsilon} n}$  unless NP  $\subseteq$  DTIME $(n^{poly \log(n)})$
- ▶ they give  $O((n \log T)^{-1/2})$  and  $O(n^{-2/3})$  approximation algorithms
- suppose T is small the authors give and exact algorithm running in O(n<sup>T</sup> poly(n, T)), and a FPTAS that given an ε > 0 outputs a (1 + ε)-approximation in O(f(T)poly(n, ε<sup>-1</sup>)).

## FindBFF — A Use Case



Dataset consists of publications from DBLP in years from 2006 to 2015 (each year forms a snapshot  $G_i$ ), the set of nodes V(|V| = 2625) represents authors.

# FindBFF — A Use Case



Dataset consists of publications from DBLP in years from 2006 to 2015 (each year forms a snapshot  $G_i$ ), the set of nodes V (|V| = 2625) represents authors.

BFF-MM	BFF-MA	BFF-AM	BFF-AA
Wei Fan, Philip S. Yu, Jiawei Han, Charu C. Aggarwal Lu Qin, Jeffrey Xu Yu, Xuemin Lin Craig Macdonald, Iadh Ounis	Wei Fan, Jing Gao, Philip S. Yu, Jiawei Han, Charu C. Aggarwal Jeffrey Xu Yu, Xuemin Lin, Ying Zhang	Wei Fan, Jing Gao, Philip S. Yu, Jiawei Han	Wei Fan, Jing Gao, Philip S. Yu, Jiawei Han, Charu C. Aggarwal, Mohammad M. Masud, Latifur Khan, Bhavani M. Thuraisingham

#### Some observations

- Not all authors appearing in a dense subset coauthored many papers together, e.g., "Wei Fan", "Philip S. Yu", and "Jiawei Han" coauthored only two papers together but many pairwise.
- Some solutions are *not connected*, e.g., BFF-MM.

# Pros and Cons

### Strengths

- computes dense communities based on degree scores
- ▶ it is efficient for certain formulations
- works well for sufficiently temporal course-grained data

### What is missing

- not optimizing for specific time-frames of the time-span
- cannot adapt well for fine-grained data
- theoretical gaps may prevent (close to) optimal solutions

Lets see another formulation!



## Communities as Episodes (Rozenshtein et al., 2019)

Data. Let G = (V, E) be an undirected temporal graph with  $E = \{(u_i, v_i, t_i) : i = 1, ..., m\}$ .

Setting. Find a multiple *dense* communities covering the timespan  $[t_1, t_m]$ 

## Communities as Episodes (Rozenshtein et al., 2019)

Data. Let G = (V, E) be an undirected temporal graph with  $E = \{(u_i, v_i, t_i) : i = 1, ..., m\}$ .

Setting. Find a multiple *dense* communities covering the timespan  $[t_1, t_m]$ 

#### Metrics and temporal properties.

Given an interval  $I = [t_s, t_e] \subseteq [t_1, t_m]$  let G[I] = (V[I], E[I]) be the induced subgraph in I

An *episode* is a pair  $(I = [t_s, t_e], H \subseteq G[I])$  where H is a subgraph of G[I]

Given a static subgraph  $H = (V_H, E_H)$  its density is  $d(H) = \frac{|E_H|}{|V_H|}$ 

## Communities as Episodes (Rozenshtein et al., 2019)

Data. Let G = (V, E) be an undirected temporal graph with  $E = \{(u_i, v_i, t_i) : i = 1, ..., m\}$ .

Setting. Find a multiple *dense* communities covering the timespan  $[t_1, t_m]$ 

### Metrics and temporal properties.

Given an interval  $I = [t_s, t_e] \subseteq [t_1, t_m]$  let G[I] = (V[I], E[I]) be the induced subgraph in I

An *episode* is a pair  $(I = [t_s, t_e], H \subseteq G[I])$  where H is a subgraph of G[I]

Given a static subgraph  $H = (V_H, E_H)$  its density is  $d(H) = \frac{|E_H|}{|V_H|}$ 

#### Problem

Given a temporal network G and an integer k find a set of k episodes  $(I_i, H_i), i = 1, ..., k$  such that  $I_i \cap I_j = \emptyset, i \neq j$  are disjoint while maximizing

$$\sum_{i=1}^k d(H_i)$$

# Solving the Problem trough Segmentation

### Computing the solution

- **Note!** in an optimal solution  $\bigcup_i l_i$  covers  $[t_1, t_m]$ .
- ▶ given interval *I* use result in (Goldberg, 1984) in  $O(nm_{st} \log n)$  to obtain  $H^* = \arg \max_{H \subseteq G[I]} d(H)$ , and  $m_{st}$  is the max edges in an interval
- ▶ it suffices to find an optimal segmentation  $I_1, \ldots, I_k$ , (with dyn. prog. in  $\mathcal{O}(kT^2)$ ) Such algorithm has runtime  $\mathcal{O}(kT^2nm_{st}\log n)$

# Solving the Problem trough Segmentation

### Computing the solution

- **Note!** in an optimal solution  $\bigcup_i I_i$  covers  $[t_1, t_m]$ .
- ▶ given interval *I* use result in (Goldberg, 1984) in  $O(nm_{st} \log n)$  to obtain  $H^* = \arg \max_{H \subseteq G[I]} d(H)$ , and  $m_{st}$  is the max edges in an interval
- ▶ it suffices to find an optimal segmentation  $I_1, \ldots, I_k$ , (with dyn. prog. in  $\mathcal{O}(kT^2)$ ) Such algorithm has runtime  $\mathcal{O}(kT^2 nm_{st} \log n)$

As this can be prohibitive an approximate approach is proposed

- ▶ approximate dyn. prog. approach controlled  $\varepsilon_1$  pruning candidates in segmentation
- > avoiding recomputing densest subgraph, use evolving solution, controlled by  $\varepsilon_2$

Leading to  $2(1 + \varepsilon_1)(1 + \varepsilon_2)$ -approximation algorithm running in  $\mathcal{O}(\frac{k^2}{\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2}Tm_{T,max}\log^2(n))$  where  $m_{T,max}$  is the maximum number of edges with the same time-stamp.

## Communities as Episodes — Analyzing Twitter Data



Tweets in Helsinki region, V are hashtags and (u, v, t) is added if a message contains both hashtags u, v, data gathered from Nov 2013 containing 4758 tweets and 917 nodes. (Parameters, k = 4 and  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = 0.1$ )

# Communities as Episodes — Analyzing Twitter Data



Tweets in Helsinki region, V are hashtags and (u, v, t) is added if a message contains both hashtags u, v, data gathered from Nov 2013 containing 4758 tweets and 917 nodes. (Parameters, k = 4 and  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = 0.1$ )



# Communities as Episodes — Analyzing Twitter Data



Tweets in Helsinki region, V are hashtags and (u, v, t) is added if a message contains both hashtags u, v, data gathered from Nov 2013 containing 4758 tweets and 917 nodes. (Parameters, k = 4 and  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = 0.1$ )



both episodes are related to events occurring in that period in Helsinki and globally, e.g., on the left the Digiexpo and Halloween events, while on the right the MTV Europe music awards that was on November 10.

# Pros and Cons

### Strengths

- it adapts in a flexible way to the timespan of the network
- ▶ in general it is efficient to compute
- identifies dense communities (w.r.t., average degree)

### What is missing

- when aggregation is performed may lose some information
- not accounting for temporal behaviors, e.g., periodicity or burstiness
- may not be informative with small timespan (i.e, G has not few dense structures)

Lets see another formulation!





## Discovering Buzzing Stories (Bonchi et al., 2019)

Data. Given a temporal network  $G = (V, \{E_t, f_t\}_{t=1,...,T})$ .

### Discovering Buzzing Stories (Bonchi et al., 2019) Data. Given a temporal network $G = (V, \{E_t, f_t\}_{t=1,...,T})$ .

- V is a set of objects, e.g., messages or words from chat apps or posts on socials.



-  $E_t$  edges at time t and  $f_t : E_t \mapsto \mathbb{R}^+$  is a weighting function capturing the strength between two objects at t, e.g.,  $f_t$  (cream, carbonara)

## Discovering Buzzing Stories (Bonchi et al., 2019)

Data. Given a temporal network  $G = (V, \{E_t, f_t\}_{t=1,...,T})$ .

Setting. Find multiple *dense* and unexpected *stories*, i.e., communities.

#### Metrics and temporal properties.

Transform  $G \to G_A = (V, \{E_t, \phi_t\}_{t=1,...,T})$  by mapping  $f_t \to \phi_t$  where  $\phi_t(\cdot)$  captures how anomalous or unexpected is *e* based on past data.

Given a *discrete*-time interval  $I = [t_s, t_e]$  and  $S \subseteq G_A$  then " $\delta(S, I) =$  density of S in I"

Given a set of subgraphs S then  $\Delta(S, I) = \sum_{S \in S} \delta(S, I)$ .

## Discovering Buzzing Stories (Bonchi et al., 2019)

Data. Given a temporal network  $G = (V, \{E_t, f_t\}_{t=1,...,T})$ .

Setting. Find multiple *dense* and unexpected *stories*, i.e., communities.

### Metrics and temporal properties.

Transform  $G \to G_A = (V, \{E_t, \phi_t\}_{t=1,...,T})$  by mapping  $f_t \to \phi_t$  where  $\phi_t(\cdot)$  captures how anomalous or unexpected is *e* based on past data.

Given a *discrete*-time interval  $I = [t_s, t_e]$  and  $S \subseteq G_A$  then " $\delta(S, I) =$  density of S in I"

Given a set of subgraphs S then  $\Delta(S, I) = \sum_{S \in S} \delta(S, I)$ .

#### Problem

Given the temporal (anomalous) graph  $G_A$ , an interval  $I \subseteq [t_1, t_m]$  and two integers  $K, N \ge 1$  find  $S^* = \{S_1, \ldots, S_K\}$  of disjoint subgraphs of  $G_A$  such that

- ▶  $|S_i| \leq N$ , that is the number of nodes in each subgraph is bounded by N
- $\Delta(S^*, I)$  is maximized.

# Discovering Buzzing Stories — Algorithm

The decision problem is NP-hard

Computing a solution

▶ develop an *exact* algorithm A running in O(|I|m log n) for K = 1 and N = ∞ based on a "peeling" the minimum degree vertices.

Note! This needs to be accounting for time-points (updating the vertex degrees).

# Discovering Buzzing Stories - Algorithm

The decision problem is NP-hard

Computing a solution

▶ develop an *exact* algorithm A running in O(|I|m log n) for K = 1 and N = ∞ based on a "peeling" the minimum degree vertices.

Note! This needs to be accounting for time-points (updating the vertex degrees).

- $\blacktriangleright$  algorithm for general case using  ${\cal A}$  as subroutine by
  - imposing further constraints on the size (i.e., bounding N) of the reported solutions
  - iteratively remove identified communities to guarantee disjoint output.

The resulting algorithms runs in  $\mathcal{O}(K|I|m \log n)$  and comes without guarantees.

# Discovering Buzzing Stories – A Use Case

yahoo!

Dataset. Yahoo searches during 2013-2014

Assumption. If there is an anomaly people will search it on the web!

Processing.

- dataset spans 558 days, is build on user queries (appearing at least 50 times per day)
- $\blacktriangleright$  map queries e.g., "How to put pineapple on pizza"  $\rightarrow$  "(pineapple,pizza)" is generated
- $f_t$  accounts for frequency

# Discovering Buzzing Stories – A Use Case

yahoo!

Dataset. Yahoo searches during 2013-2014

Assumption. If there is an anomaly people will search it on the web!

Processing.

- dataset spans 558 days, is build on user queries (appearing at least 50 times per day)
- $\blacktriangleright$  map queries e.g., "How to put pineapple on pizza"  $\rightarrow$  "(pineapple,pizza)" is generated
- $f_t$  accounts for frequency

Date	I	Ν	Story	Related Event
13/01/2014	1	10	"cristiano dor wins ronaldo fifa ballon"	Cristiano Ronaldo won the Ballon d'Or in 2014
09/02/2014	3	10	"day figure russia julia skating medal ceremony"	Yulia Lipnitskaya, a Russian prodigy won gold medal in Sochi
27/02/2014	2	30	"captains costa wreck concordia"	Legal process for the Costa Concordia disaster



# Pros and Cons

### Strengths

- tailored to a specific application
- interesting analyses
- efficient algorithm in practice

### What is missing

- no guarantees
- $\blacktriangleright$  a lot of preprocessing is needed and identifying  $\phi(\cdot)$  may be non-trivial
- not much used in practice





## Significant Engagement Based Community (Zhang et al., 2022)

Dataset. Undirected temporal network  $G = (V, E), E = \{(u_i, v_i, t_i) : i = 1, ..., m\}$ 

Setting. Find the community where a given user has highest engagement, local formulation!

## Significant Engagement Based Community (Zhang et al., 2022)

Dataset. Undirected temporal network  $G = (V, E), E = \{(u_i, v_i, t_i) : i = 1, ..., m\}$ 

Setting. Find the community where a given user has highest engagement, local formulation!

Metrics and temporal properties. Let  $H \subseteq G$ , the *degree* of  $v \in V[H]$  is  $d_{u,H} = \sum_{e:E[H]} \mathbf{1}[v \in e]$ . Given  $H \subseteq G$  the *engagement* of  $v \in V[H]$  is  $\gamma(u, H) = \frac{d_{u,H}}{\sum_{u \in V[H]} d_{v,H}}$ .

## Significant Engagement Based Community (Zhang et al., 2022)

Dataset. Undirected temporal network  $G = (V, E), E = \{(u_i, v_i, t_i) : i = 1, ..., m\}$ 

Setting. Find the community where a given user has highest engagement, local formulation!

Metrics and temporal properties. Let  $H \subseteq G$ , the *degree* of  $v \in V[H]$  is  $d_{u,H} = \sum_{e:E[H]} \mathbf{1}[v \in e]$ . Given  $H \subseteq G$  the *engagement* of  $v \in V[H]$  is  $\gamma(u, H) = \frac{d_{u,H}}{\sum e_{v \in u} d_{v,H}}$ .

#### Problem

Given a temporal graph G, a parameter  $k \ge 1$ , and a vertex  $u \in V$  find H such that

- $\blacktriangleright$   $u \in V[H]$
- the static network of H is a k-core (Guarantees cohesiveness)
- $\gamma(u, H) \ge \gamma(u, H')$  for any other  $H' \subseteq G$  (Guarantees max-engagement)

# A Case Study on DBLP (Zhang et al., 2022)

Computing a solution

Greedy peeling algorithms + local search running in  $O(m^2(n+m))$ 

# A Case Study on DBLP (Zhang et al., 2022)

Computing a solution

Greedy peeling algorithms + local search running in  $O(m^2(n+m))$ 



As desired seed nodes are well centered in the identified communities

# Pros and Cons

### Strengths

- Iocal formulation
- proposed algorithm is polynomial
- output has desired properties

### What is missing

- no guarantees
- may need additional assumptions to better model engagement
- engagement is not time-dependent


You showed us only degree-based metrics!



## Local Motif Clustering (Fu et al., 2020)

Data. Undirected temporal network  $G = \{G_1, \ldots, G_T\}$ .

Setting. Find a good local "tight cluster  $\rightarrow$  motifs" to a seed node at each time point 1,..., T.

# Local Motif Clustering (Fu et al., 2020)

Data. Undirected temporal network  $G = \{G_1, \ldots, G_T\}$ .

Setting. Find a good local "tight cluster  $\rightarrow$  motifs" to a seed node at each time point 1,..., T.

#### Metrics and temporal properties.

H: a small subgraph pattern (e.g., edge, triangle, star etc).

- $C \subseteq V$ : is cluster, and *motif-conductance* is  $\Phi(C, H) = \frac{\partial(C, H)}{\min\{vol(C, H), vol(\bar{C}, H)\}}$  where,
  - ▶  $\partial(C, H)$ : # of subgraphs *cut* by the cluster (i.e., "cut = at least one node not in C")
  - ► vol(A, H): # of occurrences of H in A

# Local Motif Clustering (Fu et al., 2020)

Data. Undirected temporal network  $G = \{G_1, \ldots, G_T\}$ .

Setting. Find a good local "tight cluster  $\rightarrow$  motifs" to a seed node at each time point 1, ..., T.

#### Metrics and temporal properties.

H: a small subgraph pattern (e.g., edge, triangle, star etc).

 $C \subseteq V$ : is cluster, and *motif-conductance* is  $\Phi(C, H) = \frac{\partial(C, H)}{\min\{vol(C, H), vol(\overline{C}, H)\}}$  where,

- ▶  $\partial(C, H)$ : # of subgraphs *cut* by the cluster (i.e., "cut = at least one node not in C")
- vol(A, H): # of occurrences of H in A

#### Problem

Given  $G = \{G_1, \ldots, G_T\}$ , a static motif H, a seed node  $v \in V$ , an upper-bound on the motif conductance  $\phi$ , compute,

 $C^t$  containing  $v \in V$  such that  $\Phi(C^t, H) \leq \phi$ , for each  $t = 1, \ldots, T$ .

# Local Motif Clustering – Example

#### Example

Let us fix H to be a triangle



Notation. Blue edges denote insertions and green edges denote removals.



## Local Motif Clustering – Example

#### Example

Let us fix H to be a triangle



Notation. Blue edges denote insertions and green edges denote removals.



# Local Motif Clustering – Example

#### Example

Let us fix H to be a triangle



Notation. Blue edges denote insertions and green edges denote removals.







We will be looking for an approximate solution

The problem is already for static graphs NP-hard

Computing a solution

- Fix  $t = 1, \ldots, T$ , let k be # nodes in H
- $\blacktriangleright$  define a multilinear page-rank vector  $\mathbf{x}^t$ , that accounts for the high-order motifs,

$$\mathbf{x}^{t} = \alpha P^{t} (\underbrace{\mathbf{x}^{t} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{x}^{t}}_{k-1 \text{ times}}) + (1-\alpha) \mathbf{u}$$

where  $P^t$  encodes transitions over motifs, **u** is the vector encoding user preferences.

The problem is already for static graphs NP-hard

Computing a solution

- fix t = 1, ..., T, let k be # nodes in H
- b define a multilinear page-rank vector x<sup>t</sup>, that accounts for the high-order motifs,

 $\mathbf{x}^{t} = \alpha P^{t}(\mathbf{x}^{t} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{x}^{t}) + (1 - \alpha)\mathbf{u}$ 

where  $P^t$  encodes transitions over motifs, **u** is the vector encoding user preferences.

- $\blacktriangleright$  a good-approximate obtained through sweep cut on vector  $\mathbf{x}^{t}$ ,
  - sort (from largest to smallest) the entries in  $\mathbf{x}^t$
  - pick the prefix  $1, \ldots, j$  with  $j = 1, \ldots, n$  optimizing the induced cut

The problem is already for static graphs NP-hard 🙁

Computing a solution

- fix t = 1, ..., T, let k be # nodes in H
- b define a multilinear page-rank vector x<sup>t</sup>, that accounts for the high-order motifs,

 $\mathbf{x}^t = \alpha P^t(\mathbf{x}^t \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{x}^t) + (1 - \alpha)\mathbf{u}$ 

where  $P^t$  encodes transitions over motifs, **u** is the vector encoding user preferences.

- a good-approximate obtained through sweep cut on vector x<sup>t</sup>,
  - sort (from largest to smallest) the entries in  $\mathbf{x}^t$
  - pick the prefix  $1, \ldots, j$  with  $j = 1, \ldots, n$  optimizing the induced cut

**Idea!** For varying *t*, graph is evolving avoid repeating such steps by **1**. avoid considering distant edges that cannot impact cluster **2**. avoid scratch re computation of  $\mathbf{x}^t$ Runtime  $\mathcal{O}(\sum_t [f(m_i, n_i^{O(k)}, k^k)], P^1$  is assumed in input!

# Pros and Cons

### Strengths

- Iocal formulation
- uses high order information
- versatile according to the pattern

### What is missing

- no guarantees
- problem is already hard on a single snapshot
- not very practical computing  $P^i$ , i = 1, ...



Fairness definition demographic fairness



Fairness definition demographic fairness



Data.  $G = \{G_1, \ldots, G_T\}$ , where V has h different groups

Setting. Find q clusters at each time-point cutting few k-cliques and that are fair.

#### Problem

Given *G* parameters *k* and *q*, find a *q*-clustering  $C_1^t, \ldots, C_q^t$  for  $t = 1, \ldots, T$  such that  $\min_{C_i^t} \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{\partial(C_i^t, k)}{vol(C_i^t, k)} (\partial(C_i^t, k)): \# \text{ of } k\text{-cliques cut by } C_i^t)$   $\frac{|V_s \cap C_i^t|}{|C_i^t|} = \frac{|V_s|}{|V|} \text{ for each time } t = 1, \ldots, T \text{ and cluster } s = 1, \ldots, q$ 

Data.  $G = \{G_1, \ldots, G_T\}$ , where V has h different groups

Setting. Find q clusters at each time-point cutting few k-cliques and that are fair.

#### Problem

Given *G* parameters *k* and *q*, find a *q*-clustering 
$$C_1^t, \ldots, C_q^t$$
 for  $t = 1, \ldots, T$  such that  

$$\min_{C_i^t} \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{\partial(C_i^t, k)}{vol(C_i^t, k)} (\partial(C_i^t, k)): \# \text{ of } k\text{-cliques cut by } C_i^t)$$

$$\frac{|V_s \cap C_i^t|}{|C_i^t|} = \frac{|V_s|}{|V|} \text{ for each time } t = 1, \ldots, T \text{ and cluster } s = 1, \ldots, q$$

Computing a solution

#### The problem is **NP**-hard

At fixed t solution is based on spectral techniques: trace minimization problem + K-means.

Avoid re computation at each time t, accounting for edge additions and deletions. Runtime is  $\mathcal{O}(T(q^4 + q^2n) + \sum_t ka^{k-2}m^t)$ , a is arboricity at time t, no guarantees on the solution.

## Visualizing the Desired Behavior

#### Example

Let q = 2 and k = 3 (k-clique is a triangle). Green edges are insertions and yellow edges are removals



# Visualizing the Desired Behavior

#### Example

Let q = 2 and k = 3 (k-clique is a triangle). Green edges are insertions and yellow edges are removals



# Pros and Cons

### Strengths

- ► fairness + evolving networks
- global dense clusters
- can have different applications

### What is missing

- no guarantees
- problem is already hard on a single snapshot
- not very practical enumerating k-cliques







Let us do a summary.

### Community Detection in Temporal Networks – Summary



- community detection in temporal networks is a very wide research area
- do not panic and follow a principled approach (start from data!)
  - identify properties of the communities you are looking (global vs. local, etc..)
  - search formulations with desired properties (much work has been done!)
  - if nothing works you found a gap in literature (novel algorithms are needed!)



use/develop proper algorithms to analyze temporal communities

Keep in mind. There is a gap between formulations and applications

## Temporal communities – Other Formulations

#### Some other existing formulations

▶ ...

- (Lin et al., 2022) find multiple maximal quasi-clique based communities, stable overall and with interval-based edges
- (Qin et al., 2023) find single and dense community that is periodic over time
- ▶ (Preti et al., 2021) discovering a set of diverse and correlated communities in dynamic setting
- ▶ (Ma et al., 2020) finding dense subgraphs in temporal networks with time-varying edge weight
- ▶ (Banerjee and Pal, 2022) online algorithm for temporal clique identification
- (Chu et al., 2019) bursty and dense community identification



## Temporal Motifs and Events

## Subgraph Motifs

Motifs are small subgraph patterns with a plethora of applications in various domains



# Subgraph Motifs

Motifs are small subgraph patterns with a plethora of applications in various domains



But how?

Given a simple static graph G = (V, E) and small target graph  $H = (V_H, E_H)$  we say that,

▶  $G' \subseteq G$  is a subgraph that is isomorphic to H if there exists a bijection  $f: V_H \mapsto V_{G'}$  with

 $(x,y) \in E_H \Longrightarrow (f(x),f(y)) \in E_{G'} \qquad (G' \sim H)$ 

Given a simple static graph G = (V, E) and small target graph  $H = (V_H, E_H)$  we say that,

▶  $G' \subseteq G$  is a subgraph that is isomorphic to H if there exists a bijection  $f: V_H \mapsto V_{G'}$  with

 $(x,y) \in E_H \Longrightarrow (f(x),f(y)) \in E_{G'} \qquad (G' \sim H)$ 

Example

Is "V[C, D, E]" isomorphic to H?





Given a simple static graph G = (V, E) and small target graph  $H = (V_H, E_H)$  we say that,

▶  $G' \subseteq G$  is a subgraph that is isomorphic to H if there exists a bijection  $f: V_H \mapsto V_{G'}$  with

 $(x,y) \in E_H \Longrightarrow (f(x), f(y)) \in E_{G'} \qquad (G' \sim H)$ 

Example

Is "V[C, D, E]" isomorphic to H? Yes!





Given a simple static graph G = (V, E) and small target graph  $H = (V_H, E_H)$  we say that,

▶  $G' \subseteq G$  is a subgraph that is isomorphic to H if there exists a bijection  $f: V_H \mapsto V_{G'}$  with

 $(x,y) \in E_H \Longrightarrow (f(x),f(y)) \in E_{G'} \qquad (G' \sim H)$ 

Example

Is "V[A, C, B]" isomorphic to H?





Given a simple static graph G = (V, E) and small target graph  $H = (V_H, E_H)$  we say that,

▶  $G' \subseteq G$  is a subgraph that is isomorphic to H if there exists a bijection  $f: V_H \mapsto V_{G'}$  with

 $(x,y) \in E_H \Longrightarrow (f(x),f(y)) \in E_{G'} \qquad (G' \sim H)$ 

Example

Is "V[A, C, B]" isomorphic to H? Yes!





Given a simple static graph G = (V, E) and small target graph  $H = (V_H, E_H)$  we say that,

►  $G' \subseteq G$  is a subgraph that is isomorphic to H if there exists a bijection  $f: V_H \mapsto V_{G'}$  with

```
(x,y) \in E_H \Longrightarrow (f(x), f(y)) \in E_{G'} (G' \sim H)
```

the isomorphism is called *induced* if it also holds

 $(x,y) \in E_H \iff (f(x),f(y)) \in E_{G'}$ 

Example

Is "V[A, C, B]" isomorphic to H?





Given a simple static graph G = (V, E) and small target graph  $H = (V_H, E_H)$  we say that,

•  $G' \subseteq G$  is a subgraph that is isomorphic to H if there exists a bijection  $f: V_H \mapsto V_{G'}$  with

 $(x,y) \in E_H \Longrightarrow (f(x),f(y)) \in E_{G'}$   $(G' \sim H)$ 

the isomorphism is called *induced* if it also holds

 $(x,y) \in E_H \iff (f(x),f(y)) \in E_{G'}$ 

Is "V[A, C, B]" isomorphic to H? No!

Example





 $(f^{-1}(A), f^{-1}(B))$  not in H! 395

Given a simple static graph G = (V, E) and small target graph  $H = (V_H, E_H)$  we say that,

•  $G' \subseteq G$  is a subgraph that is isomorphic to H if there exists a bijection  $f: V_H \mapsto V_{G'}$  with

 $(x,y) \in E_H \Longrightarrow (f(x),f(y)) \in E_{G'} \qquad (G' \sim H)$ 

the isomorphism is called *induced* if it also holds

 $(x,y) \in E_H \iff (f(x),f(y)) \in E_{G'}$ 

Given a graph G, count of H meaning: # of distinct subgraphs  $G' \subseteq G$  with  $G' \sim H$ . If  $G' \sim H$  we say G' is an occurrence of H

#### Problem

Given a graph G and a small subgraph pattern H

- obtain the count of H (counting problem)
- ► list all occurrences of *H*
# Subgraph Counts

### The problem is NP-hard and extremely challenging

Many applications, in computer science, network science and more...



(a) Node embeddings

# Subgraph Counts

### The problem is NP-hard and extremely challenging

Many applications, in computer science, network science and more...



# Subgraph Counts

The problem is NP-hard and extremely challenging

Many applications, in computer science, network science and more...



### Some material

- ▶ (Ribeiro et al., 2021) survey on algorithms and applications
- (Seshadhri and Tirthapura, 2019) tutorial in WWW 2019 on subgraph counting

What about temporal motifs?

### **Temporal Motifs**



## **Temporal Motifs**

As for temporal communities many definitions exist



Temporal motifs = static subgraphs + temporal dynamics (+ additional information)

Where

static subgraph may be (non)induced



temporal dynamics time over the static subgraph, in many ways



## **Temporal Motifs**

As for temporal communities many definitions exist



Temporal motifs = static subgraphs + temporal dynamics (+ additional information)

### Where

static subgraph may be (non)induced



temporal dynamics time over the static subgraph, in many ways

▶ additional information is any available *metadata* on nodes or edges, e.g.,  $f : \{V, E\} \rightarrow D$ 

Let us see some of most used definitions

## Temporal Motifs by Kovanen et al. (2011)

**Data**.  $G = (V, E), E = \{(u, v, t)\}$  be a *directed* temporal network

Modeling temporal dynamics

Some definitions

- two edges  $(e_1, e_2 \in E)$  are  $\Delta t$ -adjacent they share at least one node and  $|t_{e_1} - t_{e_2}| \leq \Delta t$ .

Temporal Motifs by Kovanen et al. (2011) Data.  $G = (V, E), E = \{(u, v, t)\}$  be a *directed* temporal network

Modeling temporal dynamics

Some definitions

- two edges  $(e_1, e_2 \in E)$  are  $\Delta t$ -adjacent they share at least one node and  $|t_{e_1} - t_{e_2}| \leq \Delta t$ .

**Example**. Fix  $\Delta t = 10$ 



## Temporal Motifs by Kovanen et al. (2011)

**Data**.  $G = (V, E), E = \{(u, v, t)\}$  be a *directed* temporal network

Modeling temporal dynamics

Some definitions

- two edges  $(e_1, e_2 \in E)$  are  $\Delta t$ -adjacent they share at least one node and  $|t_{e_1} - t_{e_2}| \leq \Delta t$ .

- two edges  $(e_1, e_2 \in E)$  are  $\Delta t$ -connected if there is a sequence  $\Delta t$ -adj. edges from  $e_1$  to  $e_2$  (no time ordering!)

Temporal Motifs by Kovanen et al. (2011) Data.  $G = (V, E), E = \{(u, v, t)\}$  be a *directed* temporal network

Modeling temporal dynamics

Some definitions

- two edges  $(e_1, e_2 \in E)$  are  $\Delta t$ -adjacent they share at least one node and  $|t_{e_1} - t_{e_2}| \leq \Delta t$ .

- two edges  $(e_1, e_2 \in E)$  are  $\Delta t$ -connected if there is a sequence  $\Delta t$ -adj. edges from  $e_1$  to  $e_2$  (no time ordering!)

**Example**. Fix  $\Delta t = 10$ 



## Temporal Motifs by Kovanen et al. (2011)

**Data**.  $G = (V, E), E = \{(u, v, t)\}$  be a *directed* temporal network

Modeling temporal dynamics

Some definitions

- two edges  $(e_1, e_2 \in E)$  are  $\Delta t$ -adjacent they share at least one node and  $|t_{e_1} - t_{e_2}| \leq \Delta t$ .

- two edges  $(e_1, e_2 \in E)$  are  $\Delta t$ -connected if there is a sequence  $\Delta t$ -adj. edges from  $e_1$  to  $e_2$  (no time ordering!)

Further, a connected temporal subgraph  $G' \subseteq G$  constitutes of pairwise  $\Delta t$ -conn. edges

- a conn. temporal subgraph G' is valid if for each two events that are incident to a node no other edges are skipped (temporally induced G')

Temporal Motifs by Kovanen et al. (2011) Data.  $G = (V, E), E = \{(u, v, t)\}$  be a *directed* temporal network

Modeling temporal dynamics

Some definitions

- two edges  $(e_1, e_2 \in E)$  are  $\Delta t$ -adjacent they share at least one node and  $|t_{e_1} - t_{e_2}| \leq \Delta t$ .

- two edges  $(e_1, e_2 \in E)$  are  $\Delta t$ -connected if there is a sequence  $\Delta t$ -adj. edges from  $e_1$  to  $e_2$  (no time ordering!)

Further, a connected temporal subgraph  $G' \subseteq G$  constitutes of pairwise  $\Delta t$ -conn. edges

- a conn. temporal subgraph G' is valid if for each two events that are incident to a node no other edges are skipped (temporally induced G')

**Example**. Fix  $\Delta t = 10$ 



# Temporal Motifs by (Kovanen et al., 2011)

### Definition

Temporal motifs are *non-isomorphic* classes of subgraphs, where the isomorphism *takes into account edge ordering*.

### Example.



# Temporal Motifs by (Kovanen et al., 2011)

### Definition

Temporal motifs are *non-isomorphic* classes of subgraphs, where the isomorphism *takes into account edge ordering*.

### Example.



# Temporal Motifs by (Kovanen et al., 2011)

#### Definition

Temporal motifs are *non-isomorphic* classes of subgraphs, where the isomorphism *takes into account edge ordering*.

#### Problem

Given G,  $\Delta t$  and a bound k obtain the count of temporal motifs on k nodes.

#### Solving the counting problem for specific classes

- ▶ pre-process G and identify maximal components O(|E|)
- ▶ in each component find valid subgraphs of bounded size k,  $O(n^k)$
- ▶ map each valid subgraph G' with k-edges on its class (*canonical labeling* is used, exponential in |G'|).

### A real use case?

# Homophily in Phone Call Networks (Kovanen et al., 2013)

Data. Record of 6 months data of mobile phone calls (625million calls) and SMS (207 million). In total > 6 million users.

Additional metadata. Sex, age and payment type, combined to obtain 24 different node colors

# Homophily in Phone Call Networks (Kovanen et al., 2013)

Data. Record of 6 months data of mobile phone calls (625million calls) and SMS (207 million). In total > 6 million users.

Additional metadata. Sex, age and payment type, combined to obtain 24 different node colors

Insights. *Temporal homophily* occurs at gender level, i.e., temporal phone call patterns tend to be different in males and females

Temporal motifs.



The score denotes how strong is data with respect to a random-model. F: female, M: male, F - F: all nodes are of F class, F - \*: there exist at least one node of M class in the motif.

# Homophily in Phone Call Networks (Kovanen et al., 2013)

Data. Record of 6 months data of mobile phone calls (625million calls) and SMS (207 million). In total > 6 million users.

Additional metadata. Sex, age and payment type, combined to obtain 24 different node colors

Insights. *Temporal homophily* occurs at gender level, i.e., temporal phone call patterns tend to be different in males and females

Temporal motifs.



The score denotes how strong is data with respect to a random-model. F: female, M: male, F - F: all nodes are of F class, F - \*: there exist at least one node of M class in the motif.

Motif	F - F	F - *	M - M	M - *
Repeated calls	1.11	1.11	1.13	1.10
Noncausal chain	1.08	1.02	1.01	1.04
Causal chain	1.08	1.01	0.98	1.02
Out-star	1.10	1.03	1.01	1.04

An Issue with Kovanen et al. (2011)'s Definition Example. Fix  $\Delta t = 10$ 



(a) Temporal Network *G*  (b) Not valid

An Issue with Kovanen et al. (2011)'s Definition

**Example**. Fix  $\Delta t = 10$ 



Considering only *valid* subgraphs may be **too strict**!

Which can lead to *information loss*, as this can be important for many applications.

#### How to fix this?

## Temporal Motifs by Paranjape et al. (2017)

This model aims at providing a more general and flexible definition of temporal motifs. **Data**.  $G = (V, E), E = \{(u, v, t)\}$  be a *directed* temporal network

A temporal motif is a pair  $M = (K, \sigma)$  (Liu et al., 2019) where

 $\blacktriangleright$  K is a directed and (weakly)connected multigraph with k-nodes and  $\ell$ -edges.



### Temporal Motifs by Paranjape et al. (2017)

This model aims at providing a more general and flexible definition of temporal motifs. **Data**.  $G = (V, E), E = \{(u, v, t)\}$  be a *directed* temporal network

A temporal motif is a pair  $M = (K, \sigma)$  (Liu et al., 2019) where

 $\blacktriangleright$  K is a directed and (weakly)connected multigraph with k-nodes and  $\ell$ -edges.



σ is an ordering of the edges of K (modelling temporal dynamics of K)
Example. Fixing K = K<sub>1</sub> then



**Note.**  $\sigma_L$  is time respecting while  $\sigma_R$  not!

### Temporal Motif Counting Problem (Paranjape et al., 2017)

Given G and a value  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , a *time-ordered* sequence  $S = \langle (x'_1, y'_1, t'_1), \dots, (x'_{\ell}, y'_{\ell}, t'_{\ell}) \rangle$  of  $\ell$  unique edges from G is a  $\delta$ -instance of  $M = \langle (x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_{\ell}, y_{\ell}) \rangle$  if

- 1. there exists a bijection h from the vertices appearing in S to the vertices of M, with  $h(x'_i) = x_i$ and  $h(y'_i) = y_i$ , and  $i \in [\ell]$ ;
- 2. the edges of S occur within  $\delta$  time; i.e.,  $t'_{\ell} t'_{1} \leq \delta$ .



### Temporal Motif Counting Problem (Paranjape et al., 2017)

Given G and a value  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , a *time-ordered* sequence  $S = \langle (x'_1, y'_1, t'_1), \dots, (x'_{\ell}, y'_{\ell}, t'_{\ell}) \rangle$  of  $\ell$  unique edges from G is a  $\delta$ -instance of  $M = \langle (x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_{\ell}, y_{\ell}) \rangle$  if

- 1. there exists a bijection h from the vertices appearing in S to the vertices of M, with  $h(x'_i) = x_i$ and  $h(y'_i) = y_i$ , and  $i \in [\ell]$ ;
- 2. the edges of S occur within  $\delta$  time; i.e.,  $t'_{\ell} t'_{1} \leq \delta$ .



### Temporal Motif Counting Problem (Paranjape et al., 2017)

Given G and a value  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , a *time-ordered* sequence  $S = \langle (x'_1, y'_1, t'_1), \dots, (x'_{\ell}, y'_{\ell}, t'_{\ell}) \rangle$  of  $\ell$  unique edges from G is a  $\delta$ -instance of  $M = \langle (x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_{\ell}, y_{\ell}) \rangle$  if

1. there exists a bijection h from the vertices appearing in S to the vertices of M, with  $h(x'_i) = x_i$ and  $h(y'_i) = y_i$ , and  $i \in [\ell]$ ;

2. the edges of S occur within  $\delta$  time; i.e.,  $t'_{\ell} - t'_{1} \leq \delta$ .



### Temporal Motif Counting Problem – cont.

Count of a temporal motif M is: # of  $\delta$ -instances of M in G

### Problem

Given a temporal network G, a temporal motif M and a parameter  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$  obtain *the count* of the temporal motif M

The problem is **NP**-hard

Temporal Motif Counting Problem – cont.

Count of a temporal motif M is: # of  $\delta$ -instances of M in G

#### Problem

Given a temporal network G, a temporal motif M and a parameter  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$  obtain *the count* of the temporal motif M

The problem is **NP**-hard

The problem is NP-hard even for motifs in P for static networks (Liu et al., 2019)!

Lets look at existing algorithms

## Exact Algorithm by Paranjape et al. (2017)

The proposed algorithm computes the counts of all  $\{2,3\}$ -node 3-edge temporal motifs.



# Exact Algorithm by Paranjape et al. (2017)

The proposed algorithm computes the counts of all  $\{2,3\}$ -node 3-edge temporal motifs.

### **General framework**

- computes the aggregate graph  $G_A$  of G
- enumerates all subgraphs  $H \subseteq G_A$  isomorphic to K (i.e.,  $H \sim K$ )
- for each H gathers the corresponding temporal networks  $G_H$  and sorts edges by timestamps
- $\blacktriangleright$  applies dynamic-programming to obtain the counts of all the sequences of length  $\ell$  in a window of size  $\delta$

# Exact Algorithm by Paranjape et al. (2017)

The proposed algorithm computes the counts of all  $\{2,3\}$ -node 3-edge temporal motifs.

### **General framework**

- computes the aggregate graph  $G_A$  of G
- enumerates all subgraphs  $H \subseteq G_A$  isomorphic to K (i.e.,  $H \sim K$ )
- for each H gathers the corresponding temporal networks  $G_H$  and sorts edges by timestamps
- $\blacktriangleright$  applies dynamic-programming to obtain the counts of all the sequences of length  $\ell$  in a window of size  $\delta$

### **Runtime.** $\mathcal{O}(|E| + n^k + \sum_{H \sim K} |E_{G_H}| (\log(|E_{G_H}|) + |E_H|^\ell))$

Specialized routines for specific motif classes through dynamic programming

## Other Exact Algorithms

### Other exact approaches

(Mackey et al., 2018) enumerates all  $\delta$ -instances of a fixed temporal motif M without constrains (Pashanasangi and Seshadhri, 2021) Fast algorithms for temporal triangle counting based on degeneracy ordering

(Gao et al., 2022) improved algorithms for counting  $\{2,3\}$ -node 3-edge temporal motifs

(Sarpe, 2023) improved (Mackey et al., 2018) by different matching criteria and timeline partition

(Yuan et al., 2023) dedicated hardware for counting temporal motifs

(Cai et al., 2023) exact algorithms for counting butterflies in temporal bipartite networks

...

As the problem is hard, often better rely on approximate counting!

## Motif Approximation Problem

### Problem

Given a temporal network G, a temporal motif M and a parameter  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , and two additional parameters  $\varepsilon, \eta \in (0, 1)^2$  obtain C' an estimate of *the count* C of the temporal motif M with

 $\mathbb{P}[|C' - C| \ge \varepsilon C] \le \eta$ 

Why approximate counts?

## Motif Approximation Problem

#### Problem

Given a temporal network G, a temporal motif M and a parameter  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , and two additional parameters  $\varepsilon, \eta \in (0, 1)^2$  obtain C' an estimate of *the count* C of the temporal motif M with

```
\mathbb{P}[|C' - C| \ge \varepsilon C] \le \eta
```

Why approximate counts?

often efficient and practical to compute on massive data



approximations are robust to noisy data



## Approximation Algorithms

. . .



430

Most of approximate algorithm are based on randomized sampling

(Liu et al., 2019): partitioning time-span of G in non-overlapping windows and uses importance sampling to decide windows to explore

(Wang et al., 2020): sampling temporal edges with fixed probability, specialized estimators for triangles, and streaming

(Sarpe and Vandin, 2021b; Sarpe, 2023): interval based algorithms performing uniform sampling without partitioning

 $(\mathsf{Pu} \mbox{ et al., } 2023):$  edges sampling techniques for counting temporal butterflies on undirected bipartite temporal networks

### On the Selection of $\sigma$ (Sarpe and Vandin, 2021a)

A temporal motif is a pair  $(K, \sigma)$ , how to properly pick  $\sigma$ ?



### On the Selection of $\sigma$ (Sarpe and Vandin, 2021a)

A temporal motif is a pair  $(K, \sigma)$ , how to properly pick  $\sigma$ ? Multiple values of  $\sigma$  may need to be tested!
# On the Selection of $\sigma$ (Sarpe and Vandin, 2021a)

A temporal motif is a pair  $(K, \sigma)$ , how to properly pick  $\sigma$ ? Multiple values of  $\sigma$  may need to be tested!

#### Problem

Given a temporal network G, a parameter  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , a *static* undirected subgraph H and a value  $\ell \ge |E_H|$  compute the count of *all* temporal motifs "mapping" on H and having  $\ell$  temporal edges

#### **Example**. Fix $\ell = 3$ then



# ODEN (Sarpe and Vandin, 2021a)



 $\label{eq:proposed algorithm ODEN: randomized sampling algorithm + theoretical guarantees$ 



# ODEN (Sarpe and Vandin, 2021a)



 $\label{eq:proposed algorithm ODEN: randomized sampling algorithm + theoretical guarantees$ 

Efficiently estimates multiple temporal motifs counts simultaneously. *H*: triangle and data comes from Facebook posts, varying  $\ell$ .





Are there applications?



Are there applications?

Temporal motifs enabled both novel algorithmic problems and more nuanced applications

# Stochastic Block Models (Porter et al., 2022)



Goal. Obtain highly accurate stochastic block models (SBM) to capture temporal motif  $\delta$ -instances

Proposed solution. Temporal Activity SBM

- 1. partition nodes according to their activity level {in, out}-edges (resp. C<sup>in</sup>, c<sup>out</sup> groups)
- 2. model temporal edges according

$$\theta = \frac{\theta_{1,1}\theta_{1,2}}{\theta_{2,1}\theta_{2,2}}$$

where  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{C^{in} \times C^{out}}$  models edge occurrence

3. analytical computation of motif counts according to such model

# Stochastic Block Models (Porter et al., 2022)



Goal. Obtain highly accurate stochastic block models (SBM) to capture temporal motif  $\delta$ -instances

Proposed solution. Temporal Activity SBM

- 1. partition nodes according to their activity level {in, out}-edges (resp. C<sup>in</sup>, c<sup>out</sup> groups)
- 2. model temporal edges according

$$\theta = rac{\theta_{1,1}}{\theta_{2,1}} \frac{\theta_{1,2}}{\theta_{2,2}}$$

where  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{C^{in} \times C^{out}}$  models edge occurrence

3. analytical computation of motif counts according to such model

The model accurately tracks temporal motif counts. Financial dataset recorded over 10 years,  $\delta = 90$  days, left  $M_1 = \langle (v_1, v_2), (v_3, v_2), (v_1, v_2) \rangle$ , right  $M_2 = \langle (v_1, v_2), (v_2, v_1), (v_2, v_1) \rangle$ 



# Synthetic Network Generators (Liu and Sariyüce, 2023)

Goal. Obtain a synthetic temporal network, similar to the one in input for temporal motifs

- Proposed solution. Motif Transition Model
- Cold event (CE): first event on a temporal motif instance
  - $1. \ \mbox{compute temporal network statistics}$ 
    - static degree distribution ( $K_{CE}$ ) and timestamps ( $T_{CE}$ ) of cold events
    - $\mathcal{P}$  motif-transition properties (how likely are motifs to evolve from one to another)
    - $\Lambda$  motif transition rated (how often they transition)
    - $\mu$  number of static edges involved in transitions.
  - 2. generate static network from  $K_{CE}$  and assign  $(T_{CE})$
  - 3. simulate transition process according to computed metrics

# Synthetic Network Generators (Liu and Sariyüce, 2023)

Goal. Obtain a synthetic temporal network, similar to the one in input for temporal motifs

Proposed solution. Motif Transition Model

Cold event (CE): first event on a temporal motif instance

- $1. \ \ \text{compute temporal network statistics}$ 
  - static degree distribution ( $K_{CE}$ ) and timestamps ( $T_{CE}$ ) of cold events
  - $\mathcal{P}$  motif-transition properties (how likely are motifs to evolve from one to another)
  - $\Lambda$  motif transition rated (how often they transition)
  - $\mu$  number of static edges involved in transitions.
- 2. generate static network from  $K_{CE}$  and assign  $(T_{CE})$
- 3. simulate transition process according to computed metrics



1. Identify Motif Transition Properties

2. Simulate Motif Transitions

# Mining Persistent Events (Belth et al., 2020)

Goal. Distinguish between how motif occur over time streams



### Mining Persistent Events (Belth et al., 2020)

Goal. Distinguish between how motif occur over time streams

**Proposed solution**. Assign persistence score and algorithms to compute it Let x be an event (e.g., temporal motif instance) then the persistence  $P(\cdot)$ 

$$P(x) = f\left(\underbrace{W(x)}_{\text{width}}, \underbrace{F(x)}_{\text{frequency uniformity}}, \underbrace{S(x)}_{\text{uniformity}}\right)$$

Online and offline streaming algorithms are developed, efficient for small size of events (small  $\ell$ )

The score allows to distinguish between frequent/infrequence and bursty/persistent



# Some Practical Applications (Liu et al., 2024)

capturing high-order patterns for phishing gang identification on cryptocurrency networks





# Some Practical Applications (Lei et al., 2020)

analyzing different temporal travel patterns in people commuting (metro vs bike sharing)



# Other Temporal Motif Definitions

### Several other definitions exist in literature

▶ (Boekhout et al., 2019): studied temporal multilayer motifs

- ▶ (Lee and Shin, 2023): studied temporal hypergraph motifs
- ▶ (Longa et al., 2021): studied motifs based ego-networks
- (Kosyfaki et al., 2018): defined motifs for temporal networks with flows
  ...

If you want to know more, check the survey by Liu et al. (2021)







### Diffusion and Random Networks

# Diffusion Analysis and Spreading

- propagation models
  - used to study disease spreading or information cascade in the network
- activity spreading: virus, information, idea, rumor
- applications: epidemiology, information security, marketing
- why use models?
  - facilitate mathematical analysis of propagation processes
  - have intuitive interpretation
  - proven to be realistic by empirical studies
- extensive survey in the book (Shakarian et al., 2015)



(a) t = 1



### Standard Models

Most used models are

- susceptible-infected (SI) model
  - SIR, SIRS, other variants
- independent cascade (IC) model
- linear threshold (LT) model

Such models are *important building blocks* for many data mining formulations!

# Susceptible-Infectious (SI) Model



### beginning

- time step to
- one or several infected nodes in  $I_{t_0}$  (seeds of infection)
- subsequent timestamp t
  - all infected nodes try to infect each of their susceptible neighbors
  - with probability *p* infection is *passed through an edge*
  - if a node receives infection becomes infected
- the process continues until all nodes are infected.

Some *other node types*, recovered (nodes that were infectious and now cannot spread), and exposed (infected that cannot spread)

# Independent Cascade (IC) Model

- nodes can be in either susceptible or infectious
- each edge (u, v) has an *individual* infection probability (based on proximity, frequency, etc..)
- infected node u has a single chance to infect its neighbors



Used to study new propagation of ideas, concepts, or products (Kempe et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2012)

# Linear Threshold (LT) Model

- every edge (u, v) has a probability p(u, v)
- ► at the time step t, u: susceptible  $\rightarrow$  infectious, if the total weight from its infectious neighbors is larger than a random propagation threshold  $\theta_u$

$$\sum_{oldsymbol{v}\in \mathit{N}(u)} \mathit{p}(v,u)\mathbf{1}[v ext{ is infectious at } t] \geq heta_u$$

conditional on thresholds and the initially infected nodes the process is deterministic.

LT model has applications in viral-marketing (Chen et al., 2010; Goyal et al., 2011)

# Mining Applications

#### Powerful modeling for many mining primitives

- immunization strategies, e.g., find smallest set of nodes to stop a spreading process. (Lee et al., 2012; Yu et al., 2010; Starnini et al., 2013; Génois et al., 2015; Mantzaris and Higham, 2016; Valdano et al., 2015; Gauvin et al., 2015)
- influence maximization, e.g., select the initial set of seeds, to optimize diffusion, applications in marketing and network design. (Aggarwal et al., 2012; Zhuang et al., 2013; Gayraud et al., 2015; Rodriguez et al., 2011; Gomez-Rodriguez et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2012; Rodriguez and Schölkopf, 2012; Du et al., 2013)
- 3. seed and cascade reconstruction, e.g., given some observed data of a spreading phenomenon, find the *most probable seed nodes* or *cascades*, applications in epidemiology and nfluencer discovery. (Shah and Zaman, 2011; Lappas et al., 2010; Prakash et al., 2012)

### Random Models

Common questions in temporal data analysis



- how novel is this result?
- is this only due to random chance?
- are there properties in the data explaining the results?

To find an answer  $\rightarrow$  use a statistical test (Pellegrina et al., 2019)

### Random Models

Common questions in temporal data analysis



- how novel is this result?
- ▶ is this only due to *random chance*?
- are there properties in the data explaining the results?

To find an **answer**  $\rightarrow$  use a **statistical test** (Pellegrina et al., 2019)

- start from a temporal network G
- ▶ formulate an hypothesis  $(H_0)$  about data (e.g., time does not matter for f(G))
- perform a **test to reject**  $H_0$ , usually
  - generate multiple datasets  $G_1^{H_0}, \ldots, G_L^{H_0}$  for some large L according to  $H_0$
  - compute some function  $g(G_1^{H_0}, \ldots, G_L^{H_0})$  to reject  $H_0$  (e.g.,  $g(\cdot)$  not explains f(G)).

Randomized models are used to test temporal/static properties in data A temporal network as time-line of events



We have

- 1. static structure (SS)
- 2. timeline associated to its links (TL)

To obtain random models  $\rightarrow$  use these two properties or combinations of the two

Randomized models are used to test temporal/static properties in data A temporal network as time-line of events



We have

- 1. static structure (SS)
- 2. timeline associated to its links (TL)

To obtain random models  $\rightarrow$  use these two properties or combinations of the two

Let us see some examples

Shuffling only static properties while fixing the temporal ones



(b) (conn.) constrained degree link shuffling

timeline shuffling



This model retains static properties and conditions on the observed temporal ones

Other models retaining static properties



(a) shuffling events over each timeline



(b) shuffling events and retaining gaps

... and much more such combinations (static + temporal) ...

Some random models for snapshot-based temporal networks



(b) isomorphism based

### Random Models

#### Summary.

- random models can be of fundamental importance for testing significance/generating additional data
- they can be applied for most of the mining problems that we discussed
- some of them may be hard to compute and new methods may be required

# Agenda

### Part I : Introduction and Motivation

- models of temporal networks
- algorithmic approaches

### Part II : Mining Temporal Networks A:

- connectivity, temporal properties
- centrality, cores

### Part III : Mining Temporal Networks B:

- communities, patterns and events
- diffusion and random networks
- Part IV : Tools and Code Libraries
- Part V : Challenges, Open Problems, and Trends

# Part IV Tools and Code Libraries

# **Tools Overview**



Tool Name	Language	Functionalities
SNAP	$C{++}/Python$	Temporal motifs
Graph-tool	Python/C++	Simulate network dynamics (e.g., spreading)
Teneto	Python	Temporal network measures (centrality, reachability, etc), community detection, visualization
Phasik	Python	Infer temporal networks from time series data
Reticula	$C{++}/Python$	Random networks, random models, temporal reachability, events
Tglib	$C{++}/Python$	Paths, centrality and other properties (cores, clustering coefficient, etc)
Raphtory	Rust/Python	Centrality, communities, cores, motifs, null models, visualization and more

# Agenda

### Part I : Introduction and Motivation

- models of temporal networks
- algorithmic approaches

### Part II : Mining Temporal Networks A:

- connectivity, temporal properties
- centrality, cores

### Part III : Mining Temporal Networks B:

- communities, patterns and events
- diffusion and random networks
- Part IV : Tools and Code Libraries
- Part V : Challenges, Open Problems, and Trends

# Part V Challenges, Open Problems, and Trends
# Challenges in Temporal Network Mining



- large number of problem formulations and variants
- gaps fundamental theoretical treatment
  - many are combinations of several ideas of static cases
  - require often many parameters
- hard to compare methods and choose based on applications
  - few datasets with ground-truth solutions
  - synthetic generators are built on various assumptions
  - no standards and benchmarks
  - as always: lack of useful and rich datasets
- a large number of quality metrics to calculate and compare
- comparisons are misleading if methods are designed for other definitions

# Directions in Temporal Network Mining

- more systematic approaches, quality guarantees
- interpretability of the results
- diversity and fairness
- applications and application-tailored algorithms
  - encourage interdisciplinary research and collaborations
  - computational social science

# Thanks for your attention!



https://miningtemporalnetworks.github.io/ menti.com code: 14 46 97 6

#### references I

- Aggarwal, C. and Subbian, K. (2014). Evolutionary network analysis: A survey. ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR), 47(1):10.
- Aggarwal, C. C., Lin, S., and Yu, P. S. (2012). On influential node discovery in dynamic social networks. In *Proceedings* of the 2012 SIAM International Conference on Data Mining, pages 636–647. SIAM.
- Akrida, E. C., Gasieniec, L., Mertzios, G. B., and Spirakis, P. G. (2017). The complexity of optimal design of temporally connected graphs. *Theory of Computing Systems*, 61:907–944.
- Akrida, E. C., Mertzios, G. B., Spirakis, P. G., and Zamaraev, V. (2020). Temporal vertex cover with a sliding time window. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 107:108–123.
- Aynaud, T., Fleury, E., Guillaume, J., Wang, Q., Ganguly, N., Mukherjee, A., Mitra, B., Peruani, F., and Choudhury, M. (2013). Dynamics on and of complex networks.
- Banerjee, S. and Pal, B. (2022). An efficient updation approach for enumerating maximal ( $\Delta, \gamma$ )-cliques of a temporal network. *Journal of Complex Networks*, 10(5).
- Becker, R., Crescenzi, P., Cruciani, A., and Kodric, B. (2023). Proxying betweenness centrality rankings in temporal networks. In 21st International Symposium on Experimental Algorithms (SEA 2023). Schloss-Dagstuhl-Leibniz Zentrum für Informatik.
- Belth, C., Zheng, X., and Koutra, D. (2020). Mining persistent activity in continually evolving networks. In *Proceedings* of the 26th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining, KDD '20. ACM.
- Berman, K. A. (1996). Vulnerability of scheduled networks and a generalization of menger's theorem. *Networks: An International Journal*, 28(3):125–134.
- Bhadra, S. and Ferreira, A. (2003). Complexity of connected components in evolving graphs and the computation of multicast trees in dynamic networks. In Ad-Hoc, Mobile, and Wireless Networks: Second International Conference, ADHOC-NOW2003, Montreal, Canada, October 8-10, 2003. Proceedings 2, pages 259–270. Springer.

#### references II

- Bi, J., Jin, J., Qu, C., Zhan, X., Wang, G., and Yan, G. (2021). Temporal gravity model for important node identification in temporal networks. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 147:110934.
- Boekhout, H. D., Kosters, W. A., and Takes, F. W. (2019). Efficiently counting complex multilayer temporal motifs in large-scale networks. *Computational Social Networks*, 6(1).
- Bonchi, F., Bordino, I., Gullo, F., and Stilo, G. (2019). The importance of unexpectedness: Discovering buzzing stories in anomalous temporal graphs. *Web Intelligence*, 17(3):177–198.
- Borgatti, S. P. and Everett, M. G. (2006). A graph-theoretic perspective on centrality. Social networks, 28(4):466-484.
- Buß, S., Molter, H., Niedermeier, R., and Rymar, M. (2020). Algorithmic aspects of temporal betweenness. In Proceedings of the 26th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining, pages 2084–2092.
- Cai, X., Ke, X., Wang, K., Chen, L., Zhang, T., Liu, Q., and Gao, Y. (2023). Efficient temporal butterfly counting and enumeration on temporal bipartite graphs. *Proceedings of the VLDB Endowment*, 17(4):657–670.
- Casteigts, A., Flocchini, P., Quattrociocchi, W., and Santoro, N. (2012). Time-varying graphs and dynamic networks. International Journal of Parallel, Emergent and Distributed Systems, 27(5):387–408.
- Casteigts, A., Himmel, A.-S., Molter, H., and Zschoche, P. (2021). Finding temporal paths under waiting time constraints. *Algorithmica*, 83(9):2754–2802.
- Cencetti, G., Battiston, F., Lepri, B., and Karsai, M. (2021). Temporal properties of higher-order interactions in social networks. *Scientific reports*, 11(1):7028.
- Chaintreau, A., Mtibaa, A., Massoulie, L., and Diot, C. (2007). The diameter of opportunistic mobile networks. In *Proceedings of the 2007 ACM CoNEXT conference*, page 12. ACM.
- Charikar, M. (2000). Greedy approximation algorithms for finding dense components in a graph. In *International Workshop on Approximation Algorithms for Combinatorial Optimization*, pages 84–95. Springer.

# references III

Charikar, M., Naamad, Y., and Wu, J. (2018). On finding dense common subgraphs.

- Chen, W., Lu, W., and Zhang, N. (2012). Time-critical influence maximization in social networks with time-delayed diffusion process. In AAAI, volume 2012, pages 1–5.
- Chen, W., Yuan, Y., and Zhang, L. (2010). Scalable influence maximization in social networks under the linear threshold model. In *Data Mining (ICDM), 2010 IEEE 10th International Conference on*, pages 88–97. IEEE.
- Christopoulos, K. and Tsichlas, K. (2022). State-of-the-Art in Community Detection in Temporal Networks, pages 370–381. Springer International Publishing.
- Chu, L., Zhang, Y., Yang, Y., Wang, L., and Pei, J. (2019). Online density bursting subgraph detection from temporal graphs. *Proceedings of the VLDB Endowment*, 12(13):2353–2365.
- Ciaperoni, M., Galimberti, E., Bonchi, F., Cattuto, C., Gullo, F., and Barrat, A. (2020). Relevance of temporal cores for epidemic spread in temporal networks. *Scientific reports*, 10(1):12529.
- Clauset, A. and Eagle, N. (2012). Persistence and periodicity in a dynamic proximity network. arXiv preprint arXiv:1211.7343.
- Crescenzi, P., Magnien, C., and Marino, A. (2020). Finding top-k nodes for temporal closeness in large temporal graphs. *Algorithms*, 13(9):211.
- Cruciani, A. (2023). On approximating the temporal betweenness centrality through sampling. arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.08356.
- Dakiche, N., Tayeb, F. B.-S., Slimani, Y., and Benatchba, K. (2019). Tracking community evolution in social networks: A survey. Information Processing & Management, 56(3):1084–1102.
- Du, N., Song, L., Rodriguez, M. G., and Zha, H. (2013). Scalable influence estimation in continuous-time diffusion networks. In Advances in neural information processing systems, pages 3147–3155.

### references IV

- Elmezain, M., Othman, E. A., and Ibrahim, H. M. (2021). Temporal degree-degree and closeness-closeness: A new centrality metrics for social network analysis. *Mathematics*, 9(22):2850.
- Enright, J., Meeks, K., Mertzios, G. B., and Zamaraev, V. (2021). Deleting edges to restrict the size of an epidemic in temporal networks. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 119:60–77.
- Enugala, R., Rajamani, L., Ali, K., and Kurapati, S. (2015). Community detection in dynamic social networks: a survey. International Journal of Research and Applications, 2(6):278–285.
- Fortunato, S. and Hric, D. (2016). Community detection in networks: A user guide. Physics reports, 659:1-44.
- Fu, D., Zhou, D., and He, J. (2020). Local motif clustering on time-evolving graphs. In Proceedings of the 26th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining, KDD '20. ACM.
- Fu, D., Zhou, D., Maciejewski, R., Croitoru, A., Boyd, M., and He, J. (2023). Fairness-aware clique-preserving spectral clustering of temporal graphs. In *Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2023*, WWW '23. ACM.
- Galimberti, E., Ciaperoni, M., Barrat, A., Bonchi, F., Cattuto, C., and Gullo, F. (2020). Span-core decomposition for temporal networks: Algorithms and applications. *TKDD*, 15(1):1–44.
- Gao, Z., Cheng, C., Yu, Y., Cao, L., Huang, C., and Dong, J. (2022). Scalable motif counting for large-scale temporal graphs. In 2022 IEEE 38th International Conference on Data Engineering (ICDE). IEEE.
- Gao, Z., Shi, Y., and Chen, S. (2015). Measures of node centrality in mobile social networks. International Journal of Modern Physics C, 26(09):1550107.
- Gauvin, L., Génois, M., Karsai, M., Kivelä, M., Takaguchi, T., Valdano, E., and Vestergaard, C. L. (2022). Randomized reference models for temporal networks. *SIAM Review*, 64(4):763–830.
- Gauvin, L., Panisson, A., Barrat, A., and Cattuto, C. (2015). Revealing latent factors of temporal networks for mesoscale intervention in epidemic spread. arXiv preprint arXiv:1501.02758.

# references V

- Gayraud, N. T., Pitoura, E., and Tsaparas, P. (2015). Diffusion maximization in evolving social networks. In *Proceedings* of the 2015 ACM on Conference on Online Social Networks, pages 125–135. ACM.
- Génois, M., Vestergaard, C. L., Fournet, J., Panisson, A., Bonmarin, I., and Barrat, A. (2015). Data on face-to-face contacts in an office building suggest a low-cost vaccination strategy based on community linkers. *Network Science*, 3(3):326–347.
- Goh, K.-I. and Barabási, A.-L. (2008). Burstiness and memory in complex systems. Europhysics Letters, 81(4):48002.
- Goldberg, A. V. (1984). Finding a maximum density subgraph. Technical report, University of California at Berkeley.
- Gomez-Rodriguez, M., Song, L., Du, N., Zha, H., and Schölkopf, B. (2016). Influence estimation and maximization in continuous-time diffusion networks. ACM Transactions on Information Systems (TOIS), 34(2):9.
- Goyal, A., Lu, W., and Lakshmanan, L. V. (2011). Simpath: An efficient algorithm for influence maximization under the linear threshold model. In Data Mining (ICDM), 2011 IEEE 11th International Conference on, pages 211–220. IEEE.
- Gupta, S. and Bedathur, S. (2022). A survey on temporal graph representation learning and generative modeling. arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.12126.
- Hamm, T., Klobas, N., Mertzios, G. B., and Spirakis, P. G. (2022). The complexity of temporal vertex cover in small-degree graphs. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 36, pages 10193–10201.
- Hanauer, K., Henzinger, M., and Schulz, C. (2021). Recent advances in fully dynamic graph algorithms. arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.11169.
- Hartmann, T., Kappes, A., and Wagner, D. (2016). Clustering evolving networks. In *Algorithm Engineering*, pages 280–329. Springer.
- Henzinger, M. R., King, V., and King, V. (1999). Randomized fully dynamic graph algorithms with polylogarithmic time per operation. Journal of the ACM (JACM), 46(4):502–516.

# references VI

- Himmel, A.-S., Bentert, M., Nichterlein, A., and Niedermeier, R. (2019). Efficient computation of optimal temporal walks under waiting-time constraints. In *International Conference on Complex Networks and Their Applications*, pages 494–506. Springer.
- Himmel, A.-S., Molter, H., Niedermeier, R., and Sorge, M. (2017). Adapting the bron-kerbosch algorithm for enumerating maximal cliques in temporal graphs. *Social Network Analysis and Mining*, 7:1–16.
- Holme, P. (2015). Modern temporal network theory: a colloquium. The European Physical Journal B, 88(9):234.
- Hu, W., Zou, H., and Gong, Z. (2015). Temporal pagerank on social networks. In Web Information Systems Engineering–WISE 2015: 16th International Conference, Miami, FL, USA, November 1-3, 2015, Proceedings, Part I 16, pages 262–276. Springer.
- Hung, W.-C. and Tseng, C.-Y. (2021). Maximum (I, k)-lasting cores in temporal social networks. In DASFAA Intl Workshops, pages 336–352, Cham.
- Kazemi, S. M., Goel, R., Jain, K., Kobyzev, I., Sethi, A., Forsyth, P., and Poupart, P. (2020). Representation learning for dynamic graphs: A survey. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 21(70):1–73.
- Kempe, D., Kleinberg, J., and Tardos, É. (2003). Maximizing the spread of influence through a social network. In Proceedings of the ninth ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining, pages 137–146. ACM.
- Kim, H. and Anderson, R. (2012). Temporal node centrality in complex networks. Physical Review E, 85(2):026107.
- Klobas, N., Mertzios, G. B., Molter, H., Niedermeier, R., and Zschoche, P. (2023). Interference-free walks in time: Temporally disjoint paths. *Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems*, 37(1):1.
- Klobas, N., Mertzios, G. B., Molter, H., and Spirakis, P. G. (2022). The complexity of computing optimum labelings for temporal connectivity. arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.05880.

# references VII

- Kong, Y.-X., Shi, G.-Y., Wu, R.-J., and Zhang, Y.-C. (2019). k-core: Theories and applications. *Physics Reports*, 832:1–32.
- Kosyfaki, C., Mamoulis, N., Pitoura, E., and Tsaparas, P. (2018). Flow motifs in interaction networks.
- Kovanen, L., Karsai, M., Kaski, K., Kertész, J., and Saramäki, J. (2011). Temporal motifs in time-dependent networks. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, 2011(11):P11005.
- Kovanen, L., Kaski, K., Kertész, J., and Saramäki, J. (2013). Temporal motifs reveal homophily, gender-specific patterns, and group talk in call sequences. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110(45):18070–18075.
- Kujala, R., Weckström, C., Mladenović, M. N., and Saramäki, J. (2018). Travel times and transfers in public transport: Comprehensive accessibility analysis based on pareto-optimal journeys. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*, 67:41–54.
- Lappas, T., Terzi, E., Gunopulos, D., and Mannila, H. (2010). Finding effectors in social networks. In *Proceedings of the* 16th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining, pages 1059–1068. ACM.
- Latapy, M., Viard, T., and Magnien, C. (2018). Stream graphs and link streams for the modeling of interactions over time. *Social Network Analysis and Mining*, 8(1):61.
- Lee, G. and Shin, K. (2023). Temporal hypergraph motifs. Knowledge and Information Systems, 65(4):1549–1586.
- Lee, S., Rocha, L. E., Liljeros, F., and Holme, P. (2012). Exploiting temporal network structures of human interaction to effectively immunize populations. *PloS one*, 7(5):e36439.
- Lehmann, S. (2019). Fundamental structures in dynamic communication networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.09966.
- Lei, D., Chen, X., Cheng, L., Zhang, L., Ukkusuri, S. V., and Witlox, F. (2020). Inferring temporal motifs for travel pattern analysis using large scale smart card data. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 120:102810.

# references VIII

- Li, R.-H., Su, J., Qin, L., Yu, J. X., and Dai, Q. (2018). Persistent community search in temporal networks. In 2018 IEEE 34th International Conference on Data Engineering (ICDE), pages 797–808. IEEE.
- Lin, L., Yuan, P., Li, R.-H., Wang, J., Liu, L., and Jin, H. (2022). Mining stable quasi-cliques on temporal networks. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*, 52(6):3731–3745.
- Liu, B., Cong, G., Xu, D., and Zeng, Y. (2012). Time constrained influence maximization in social networks. In Data Mining (ICDM), 2012 IEEE 12th International Conference on, pages 439–448. IEEE.
- Liu, J., Chen, J., Wu, J., Wu, Z., Fang, J., and Zheng, Z. (2024). Fishing for fraudsters: Uncovering ethereum phishing gangs with blockchain data. *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security*, 19:3038–3050.
- Liu, P., Benson, A. R., and Charikar, M. (2019). Sampling methods for counting temporal motifs. In *Proceedings of the Twelfth ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining*, WSDM '19. ACM.
- Liu, P., Guarrasi, V., and Sariyuce, A. E. (2021). Temporal network motifs: Models, limitations, evaluation. IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, pages 1–1.
- Liu, P. and Sariyüce, A. E. (2023). Using motif transitions for temporal graph generation. In *Proceedings of the 29th* ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, KDD '23. ACM.
- Longa, A., Cencetti, G., Lepri, B., and Passerini, A. (2021). An efficient procedure for mining egocentric temporal motifs. Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, 36(1):355–378.
- Longa, A., Lachi, V., Santin, G., Bianchini, M., Lepri, B., Lio, P., Scarselli, F., and Passerini, A. (2023). Graph neural networks for temporal graphs: State of the art, open challenges, and opportunities. arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.01018.
- Lotito, Q. F. and Montresor, A. (2020). Efficient algorithms to mine maximal span-trusses from temporal graphs. arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.01928.
- Lü, L., Zhou, T., Zhang, Q.-M., and Stanley, H. E. (2016). The h-index of a network node and its relation to degree and coreness. *Nature communications*, 7(1):10168.

#### references IX

- Ma, S., Hu, R., Wang, L., Lin, X., and Huai, J. (2020). An efficient approach to finding dense temporal subgraphs. IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, 32(4):645–658.
- Mackey, P., Porterfield, K., Fitzhenry, E., Choudhury, S., and Chin, G. (2018). A chronological edge-driven approach to temporal subgraph isomorphism. In 2018 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (Big Data). IEEE.
- Malliaros, F. D., Giatsidis, C., Papadopoulos, A. N., and Vazirgiannis, M. (2020). The core decomposition of networks: Theory, algorithms and applications. *The VLDB Journal*, 29(1):61–92.
- Mantzaris, A. V. and Higham, D. J. (2016). Asymmetry through time dependency. *The European Physical Journal B*, 89(3):71.
- Mertzios, G. B., Molter, H., Niedermeier, R., Zamaraev, V., and Zschoche, P. (2019). Computing maximum matchings in temporal graphs. arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.05304.
- Mertzios, G. B., Molter, H., and Zamaraev, V. (2018). Sliding window temporal graph coloring. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1811.04753*.
- Mertzios, G. B., Nikoletseas, S., Raptopoulos, C., and Spirakis, P. G. (2024). On the existence of  $\delta$ -temporal cliques in random simple temporal graphs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.07147*.
- Michail, O. (2016). An introduction to temporal graphs: An algorithmic perspective. *Internet Mathematics*, 12(4):239–280.
- Michail, O. and Spirakis, P. G. (2016). Traveling salesman problems in temporal graphs. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 634:1–23.
- Muthukrishnan, S. et al. (2005). Data streams: Algorithms and applications. Foundations and Trends® in Theoretical Computer Science, 1(2):117–236.
- Mutzel, P. and Oettershagen, L. (2019). On the enumeration of bicriteria temporal paths. In Theory and Applications of Models of Computation: 15th Annual Conference, TAMC 2019, Kitakyushu, Japan, April 13–16, 2019, Proceedings 15, pages 518–535. Springer.

#### references X

- Oettershagen, L., Konstantinidis, A. L., and Italiano, G. F. (2023a). Temporal network core decomposition and community search. arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.11843.
- Oettershagen, L., Kriege, N. M., and Mutzel, P. (2023b). A higher-order temporal h-index for evolving networks. In Singh, A. K., Sun, Y., Akoglu, L., Gunopulos, D., Yan, X., Kumar, R., Ozcan, F., and Ye, J., editors, Proceedings of the 29th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, KDD 2023, Long Beach, CA, USA, August 6-10, 2023, pages 1770–1782. ACM.
- Oettershagen, L. and Mutzel, P. (2022). Computing top-k temporal closeness in temporal networks. *Knowledge and Information Systems*, 64(2):507–535.
- Oettershagen, L. and Mutzel, P. (2023). An index for temporal closeness computation in evolving graphs. In *Proceedings* of the 2023 SIAM International Conference on Data Mining (SDM), pages 280–288. SIAM.
- Paranjape, A., Benson, A. R., and Leskovec, J. (2017). Motifs in temporal networks. In *Proceedings of the Tenth ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining*, pages 601–610. ACM.
- Pashanasangi, N. and Seshadhri, C. (2021). Faster and generalized temporal triangle counting, via degeneracy ordering. In Proceedings of the 27th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining, KDD '21. ACM.
- Pellegrina, L., Riondato, M., and Vandin, F. (2019). Hypothesis testing and statistically-sound pattern mining. In Proceedings of the 25th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining, KDD '19. ACM.
- Porter, A., Mirzasoleiman, B., and Leskovec, J. (2022). Analytical models for motifs in temporal networks. In *Companion Proceedings of the Web Conference 2022*, WWW '22. ACM.
- Prakash, B. A., Vreeken, J., and Faloutsos, C. (2012). Spotting culprits in epidemics: How many and which ones? In *Data Mining (ICDM), 2012 IEEE 12th International Conference on*, pages 11–20. IEEE.
- Preti, G., Rozenshtein, P., Gionis, A., and Velegrakis, Y. (2021). Discovering Dense Correlated Subgraphs in Dynamic Networks, pages 395–407. Springer International Publishing.
  481

#### references XI

- Pu, J., Wang, Y., Li, Y., and Zhou, X. (2023). Sampling algorithms for butterfly counting on temporal bipartite graphs.
- Qin, H., Li, R.-H., Wang, G., Huang, X., Yuan, Y., and Yu, J. X. (2020). Mining stable communities in temporal networks by density-based clustering. *IEEE Transactions on Big Data*.
- Qin, H., Li, R.-H., Yuan, Y., Dai, Y., and Wang, G. (2023). Densest periodic subgraph mining on large temporal graphs. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 35(11):11259–11273.
- Qin, H., Li, R.-H., Yuan, Y., Wang, G., Qin, L., and Zhang, Z. (2022). Mining bursting core in large temporal graphs. *Proceedings of the VLDB Endowment*.
- Renaud, L. and Naoki, M. (2016). A Guide To Temporal Networks, volume 4. World Scientific.
- Ribeiro, P., Paredes, P., Silva, M. E. P., Aparicio, D., and Silva, F. (2021). A survey on subgraph counting: Concepts, algorithms, and applications to network motifs and graphlets. ACM Computing Surveys, 54(2):1–36.
- Rocha, L. E. and Masuda, N. (2014). Random walk centrality for temporal networks. *New Journal of Physics*, 16(6):063023.
- Rodriguez, M. G., Balduzzi, D., and Schölkopf, B. (2011). Uncovering the temporal dynamics of diffusion networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1105.0697.
- Rodriguez, M. G. and Schölkopf, B. (2012). Influence maximization in continuous time diffusion networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1205.1682.
- Rossetti, G. and Cazabet, R. (2018). Community discovery in dynamic networks: a survey. ACM computing surveys (CSUR), 51(2):1–37.
- Rozenshtein, P., Bonchi, F., Gionis, A., Sozio, M., and Tatti, N. (2019). Finding events in temporal networks: segmentation meets densest subgraph discovery. *Knowledge and Information Systems*, 62(4):1611–1639.
- Rozenshtein, P. and Gionis, A. (2016). Temporal pagerank. In *Joint European Conference on Machine Learning and Knowledge Discovery in Databases*, pages 674–689. Springer.

## references XII

- Rymar, M., Molter, H., Nichterlein, A., and Niedermeier, R. (2021). Towards classifying the polynomial-time solvability of temporal betweenness centrality. In Graph-Theoretic Concepts in Computer Science: 47th International Workshop, WG 2021, Warsaw, Poland, June 23–25, 2021, Revised Selected Papers 47, pages 219–231. Springer.
- Santoro, D. and Sarpe, I. (2022). Onbra: Rigorous estimation of the temporal betweenness centrality in temporal networks. In *Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2022*, pages 1579–1588.
- Santoro, N., Quattrociocchi, W., Flocchini, P., Casteigts, A., and Amblard, F. (2011). Time-varying graphs and social network analysis: Temporal indicators and metrics. arXiv preprint arXiv:1102.0629.
- Sarpe, I. (2023). Efficient and Rigorous Algorithms for the Analysis of Large Temporal Networks. PhD thesis, University of Padova.
- Sarpe, I. and Vandin, F. (2021a). oden: Simultaneous approximation of multiple motif counts in large temporal networks. In Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management, CIKM '21. ACM.
- Sarpe, I. and Vandin, F. (2021b). PRESTO: Simple and Scalable Sampling Techniques for the Rigorous Approximation of Temporal Motif Counts, pages 145–153. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics.
- Scellato, S., Leontiadis, I., Mascolo, C., Basu, P., and Zafer, M. (2011). Evaluating temporal robustness of mobile networks. *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, 12(1):105–117.
- Seidman, S. B. (1983). Network structure and minimum degree. Social networks, 5(3):269-287.
- Semertzidis, K., Pitoura, E., Terzi, E., and Tsaparas, P. (2016). Best friends forever (bff): Finding lasting dense subgraphs. arXiv preprint arXiv:1612.05440.
- Seshadhri, C. and Tirthapura, S. (2019). Scalable subgraph counting: The methods behind the madness. In Companion Proceedings of The 2019 World Wide Web Conference, WWW '19. ACM.

Shah, D. and Zaman, T. (2011). Rumors in a network: Who's the culprit? *IEEE Transactions on information theory*, 57(8):5163–5181.

## references XIII

- Shakarian, P., Bhatnagar, A., Aleali, A., Shaabani, E., and Guo, R. (2015). Diffusion in social networks. Springer.
- Starnini, M., Machens, A., Cattuto, C., Barrat, A., and Pastor-Satorras, R. (2013). Immunization strategies for epidemic processes in time-varying contact networks. *Journal of theoretical biology*, 337:89–100.
- Su, X., Xue, S., Liu, F., Wu, J., Yang, J., Zhou, C., Hu, W., Paris, C., Nepal, S., Jin, D., Sheng, Q. Z., and Yu, P. S. (2024). A comprehensive survey on community detection with deep learning. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks* and Learning Systems, 35(4):4682–4702.
- Tang, J., Musolesi, M., Mascolo, C., and Latora, V. (2009). Temporal distance metrics for social network analysis. In Proceedings of the 2nd ACM workshop on Online social networks, pages 31–36. ACM.
- Tang, J., Musolesi, M., Mascolo, C., Latora, V., and Nicosia, V. (2010a). Analysing information flows and key mediators through temporal centrality metrics. In Proceedings of the 3rd Workshop on Social Network Systems, pages 1–6.
- Tang, J., Scellato, S., Musolesi, M., Mascolo, C., and Latora, V. (2010b). Small-world behavior in time-varying graphs. *Physical Review E*, 81(5):055101.
- Tao, L., Kong, S., He, L., Zhang, F., Li, X., Jia, T., and Han, Z. (2022). A sequential-path tree-based centrality for identifying influential spreaders in temporal networks. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 165:112766.
- Taylor, D., Porter, M. A., and Mucha, P. J. (2021). Tunable eigenvector-based centralities for multiplex and temporal networks. *Multiscale Modeling & Simulation*, 19(1):113–147.
- Thejaswi, S., Lauri, J., and Gionis, A. (2020). Restless reachability problems in temporal graphs. arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.08423.
- Thorup, M. (2000). Near-optimal fully-dynamic graph connectivity. In *Proceedings of the thirty-second annual ACM* symposium on Theory of computing, pages 343–350. Citeseer.
- Tsalouchidou, I., Baeza-Yates, R., Bonchi, F., Liao, K., and Sellis, T. (2020). Temporal betweenness centrality in dynamic graphs. *International Journal of Data Science and Analytics*, 9:257–272.

#### references XIV

- Valdano, E., Poletto, C., Giovannini, A., Palma, D., Savini, L., and Colizza, V. (2015). Predicting epidemic risk from past temporal contact data. PLoS computational biology, 11(3):e1004152.
- Viard, J., Latapy, M., and Magnien, C. (2015). Revealing contact patterns among high-school students using maximal cliques in link streams. In Proceedings of the 2015 IEEE/ACM International Conference on Advances in Social Networks Analysis and Mining 2015, pages 1517–1522.
- Viard, T., Latapy, M., and Magnien, C. (2016). Computing maximal cliques in link streams. Theoretical Computer Science, 609:245-252.
- Wang, C., Chen, W., and Wang, Y. (2012). Scalable influence maximization for independent cascade model in large-scale social networks. Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, 25(3):545-576.
- Wang, J., Wang, Y., Jiang, W., Li, Y., and Tan, K.-L. (2020). Efficient sampling algorithms for approximate temporal motif counting. In Proceedings of the 29th ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management, CIKM '20. ACM.
- Wen, D., Huang, Y., Zhang, Y., Qin, L., Zhang, W., and Lin, X. (2020). Efficiently answering span-reachability queries in large temporal graphs. In 2020 IEEE 36th International Conference on Data Engineering (ICDE), pages 1153–1164. IFFF
- Wu, H., Cheng, J., Huang, S., Ke, Y., Lu, Y., and Xu, Y. (2014). Path problems in temporal graphs. Proceedings of the VLDB Endowment, 7(9):721–732.
- Wu, H., Cheng, J., Lu, Y., Ke, Y., Huang, Y., Yan, D., and Wu, H. (2015). Core decomposition in large temporal graphs. In Big Data, pages 649-658, IEEE,
- Xie, H., Fang, Y., Xia, Y., Luo, W., and Ma, C. (2023). On querying connected components in large temporal graphs. Proceedings of the ACM on Management of Data, 1(2):1–27.
- Yang, J., Zhong, M., Zhu, Y., Qian, T., Liu, M., and Yu, J. X. (2023). Scalable time-range k-core query on temporal graphs. arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.03770.

# references XV

- Yu, M., Wen, D., Qin, L., Zhang, Y., Zhang, W., and Lin, X. (2021). On querying historical k-cores. Proceedings of the VLDB Endowment.
- Yu, Y., Berger-Wolf, T. Y., Saia, J., et al. (2010). Finding spread blockers in dynamic networks. In Advances in Social Network Mining and Analysis, pages 55–76. Springer.
- Yuan, Y., Ye, H., Vedula, S., Kaza, W., and Talati, N. (2023). Everest: Gpu-accelerated system for mining temporal motifs. *Proceedings of the VLDB Endowment*, 17(2):162–174.
- Zaoli, S., Mazzarisi, P., and Lillo, F. (2019). Trip centrality: walking on a temporal multiplex with non-instantaneous link travel time. *Scientific reports*, 9(1):10570.
- Zhang, Y., Lin, L., Yuan, P., and Jin, H. (2022). Significant engagement community search on temporal networks: Concepts and algorithms.
- Zhuang, H., Sun, Y., Tang, J., Zhang, J., and Sun, X. (2013). Influence maximization in dynamic social networks. In Data Mining (ICDM), 2013 IEEE 13th International Conference on, pages 1313–1318. IEEE.